## General index to the archive

Site/Project Name:

**Wallingford 3 Thames Street** 

Site Code:

WA3THA 11

Site/Project Type:

Watching brief

Year(s):

2011

Accession Number:

OXCMS:2011.138

Record Group	Contents	Comments	Box/File Number
	INTRODUCTION		Box 1 file 1
	Brief Written scheme of investigation	1 sheet 11 sheets	
A	REPORT Watching brief report	See http://library.thehuman journey.net/1005	
В	PRIMARY CONTEXT RECORDS	Journey 1000	Box 1 file 2
,	Watching brief record sheets Context checklist nos 1-37 Context sheets	5 sheets 2 sheets as numbered	
В	CATALOGUE OF DRAWINGS		Box 1 file 3
,	Plan list Section list	1 sheet 1 sheet	
В	PRIMARY DRAWINGS		Box 1 file 3
•	Site plan Sections	1 sheet 4 sheets	
C	PRIMARY FINDS DATA		Box 1 file 4
	Small finds list Finds context checklist	1 sheet 3 sheets	
C	FINDS SPECIALIST REPORTS		Box 1 file 5
	Pottery report Metals report	2 sheets 1 sheet	
С	FINDS BOX AND BAG LISTS		Box 1 file 6
	Compendium Box contents sheets	1 sheet 4 sheets	
D	CATALOGUE OF PHOTGRAPHS		Box 1 file 7
	BB/W index JPG index JPG print outs	1 sheet 2 sheets 10 sheets	

## OXFORD ARCHAEOLOGY, JANUS HOUSE, OSNEY MEAD, OXFORD, OX2 OES

## PDF/A SCAN

## FILMING INSTRUCTIONS

Submitter OASouth No. of copies: 2

## Headings

Site information

Line 1: [OASouth] County[Oxon] Parish: [Wallingford] Site[3 Thames Street ] Site code[WA3THA 11]

Line 2: Excavators name[D Score]

Line 3:

Classification of material

Tick if

Classification of material	nragant
Index to archive	present
Introduction	
A:Final Report	
A:Publication Report	
B:Site Data – Text: Diary/Daybook/Fieldnotes	
B: Site Data – Text: General Summaries	
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Context Records	
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Context Records	
B: Site Data – Text: Survey Reports	
B: Site Data – Text: Catalogue of Drawings	
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings	
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Drawings	
C: Finds Data – Text: Primary Finds Data	
C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data	
C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports	
C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List	
D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/Xrays	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports	
F: Documentary	
F: Press and Publicity	
G: Correspondence	
H: Miscellaneous	

# OASIS DATA COLLECTION FORM: **England**

List of Projects | Manage Projects | Search Projects | New project | Change your details | HER coverage | Change country | Log out

#### Printable version

OASIS ID: oxfordar1-144117

#### **Project details**

Project name

3 Thames Street Wallingford Oxfordshire

Short description

of the project

Between July and August 2011 Oxford Archaeology undertook an archaeological watching brief during the construction of a new extension at 3 Thames Street, Wallingford. The watching brief recorded evidence for two phases of medieval occupation on the site including a number of rubbish pits. These were sealed by post-medieval worked soil. No features or dating evidence earlier than the 12th century was observed.

Project dates

Start: 21-07-2011 End: 01-08-2011

Previous/future

work

No / No

Any associated project reference

codes

WA3THA 11 - Sitecode

Any associated project reference

codes

OXCMS:2011.138 - Museum accession ID

Type of project

Recording project

Site status

None

**Current Land use** 

Other 2 - In use as a building

Monument type

**OCCUPATION Medieval** 

Significant Finds

**POTTERY Medieval** 

Investigation type

"Watching Brief"

**Prompt** 

Planning condition

#### **Project location**

Country

**England** 

Site location

OXFORDSHIRE SOUTH OXFORDSHIRE WALLINGFORD 3 Thames Street

Study area

100.00 Square metres

Site coordinates

SU 6089 8941 51 -1 51 35 59 N 001 07 14 W Point

#### **Project creators**

Name of Organisation

originator

Oxford Archaeology

Project brief

Oxford County Archaeological Services

Project design originator

Oxford Archaeology

**Project** director/manager D. Score

Project supervisor

Type of

M Sims

sponsor/funding

body

Developer

Name of sponsor/funding

body

Gordon Spencer

**Project archives** 

**Physical Archive** 

recipient

Oxfordshire County Museum Service

**Physical Archive** 

OXCMS:2011.138

**Physical Contents** 

"Animal Bones","Ceramics","Metal"

"Ceramics","Stratigraphic","other"

Digital Archive recipient

Oxford Archaeology

Digital Archive ID

**WA3THA 11** 

**Digital Contents** 

Digital Media available

"Images raster / digital photography", "Text"

Paper Archive

recipient

Oxfordshire County Museum Service

Paper Archive ID

**Paper Contents** 

OXCMS:2011.138

"Ceramics", "Metal", "Stratigraphic", "other"

Paper Media

"Context sheet","Diary","Photograph","Plan","Report","Section","Unpublished Text"

available

**Project** bibliography 1

Grey literature (unpublished document/manuscript)

Publication type

Title

3 Thames Street Wallingford Oxfordshire

Author(s)/Editor(s) Sims M

Date

2012

Issuer or publisher Oxford Archaeology South

Place of issue or

publication

Oxford

Description

client report

**URL** 

http://library.thehumanjourney.net/1005

Entered by

Nicola Scott (n.scott@oxfordarch.co.uk)

Entered on

19 February 2013

## **OASIS:**

Please e-mail English Heritage for OASIS help and advice
© ADS 1996-2012 Created by Jo Gilham and Jen Mitcham, email Last modified Wednesday 9 May 2012
Cite only: http://www.oasis.ac.uk/form/print.cfm for this page

WALLING FORD
3THAMES STREET
WASTHAII
BOX I FILE I

INTRODUCTION

## OXFORD ARCHAEOLOGY, JANUS HOUSE, OSNEY MEAD, OXFORD, OX2 OES

## PDF/A SCAN

FILMING INSTRUCTIONS Submitter OASouth

No. of copies: 2

Headings

Site information

Line 1: [OASouth] County[Oxon] Parish: [Wallingford] Site[3 Thames Street ] Site code[WA3THA 11]

Line 2: Excavators name[D Score]

Line 3:

Classification of material

Tick if

B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Drawings  C: Finds Data – Text: Primary Finds Data  C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data  C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports  C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List  D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/Xrays  E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records  E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records  E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports  F: Documentary  F: Press and Publicity  G: Correspondence	Classification of material	Tick if
A:Final Report  A:Publication Report  B:Site Data – Text: Diary/Daybook/Fieldnotes  B: Site Data – Text: General Summaries  B: Site Data – Text: Primary Context Records  B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Context Records  B: Site Data – Text: Survey Reports  B: Site Data – Text: Survey Reports  B: Site Data – Text: Catalogue of Drawings  B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings  B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings  C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Drawings  C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data  C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports  C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List  D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/Xrays  E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records  E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records  E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports  F: Documentary  F: Press and Publicity  G: Correspondence	Index to archive	present
A:Publication Report  B:Site Data – Text: Diary/Daybook/Fieldnotes  B: Site Data – Text: General Summaries  B: Site Data – Text: Primary Context Records  B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Context Records  B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Context Records  B: Site Data – Text: Survey Reports  B: Site Data – Text: Catalogue of Drawings  B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings  B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings  C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Drawings  C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data  C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports  C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List  D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/Xrays  E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records  E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records  E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports  F: Documentary  F: Press and Publicity  G: Correspondence	Introduction	1
B: Site Data – Text: Diary/Daybook/Fieldnotes  B: Site Data – Text: General Summaries  B: Site Data – Text: Primary Context Records  B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Context Records  B: Site Data – Text: Survey Reports  B: Site Data – Text: Catalogue of Drawings  B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings  B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings  B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Drawings  C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data  C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data  C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports  C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List  D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/Xrays  E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records  E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records  E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports  F: Documentary  F: Press and Publicity  G: Correspondence	A:Final Report	
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Context Records B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Context Records B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Context Records B: Site Data – Text: Survey Reports B: Site Data – Text: Catalogue of Drawings B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Drawings C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/Xrays E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports F: Documentary F: Press and Publicity G: Correspondence	A:Publication Report	
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Context Records  B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Context Records  B: Site Data – Text: Survey Reports  B: Site Data – Text: Catalogue of Drawings  B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings  B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings  C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Drawings  C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data  C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports  C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports  C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List  D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/Xrays  E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records  E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records  E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports  F: Documentary  F: Press and Publicity  G: Correspondence	B:Site Data - Text: Diary/Daybook/Fieldnotes	
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Context Records B: Site Data – Text: Survey Reports B: Site Data – Text: Catalogue of Drawings B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings C: Finds Data – Text: Primary Finds Data C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/Xrays E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports F: Documentary F: Press and Publicity G: Correspondence	B: Site Data - Text: General Summaries	
B: Site Data – Text: Survey Reports  B: Site Data – Text: Catalogue of Drawings  B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings  B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings  B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Drawings  C: Finds Data – Text: Primary Finds Data  C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data  C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports  C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List  D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/Xrays  E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records  E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records  E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports  F: Documentary  F: Press and Publicity  G: Correspondence	B: Site Data – Text: Primary Context Records	
B: Site Data – Text: Catalogue of Drawings  B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings  B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Drawings  C: Finds Data – Text: Primary Finds Data  C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data  C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports  C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List  D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/Xrays  E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records  E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records  E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports  F: Documentary  F: Press and Publicity  G: Correspondence	B: Site Data - Text: Synthesised Context Records	
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings  B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Drawings  C: Finds Data – Text: Primary Finds Data  C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data  C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports  C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports  C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List  D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/Xrays  E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records  E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records  E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports  F: Documentary  F: Press and Publicity  G: Correspondence	B: Site Data - Text: Survey Reports	
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Drawings  C: Finds Data – Text: Primary Finds Data  C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data  C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports  C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List  D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/Xrays  E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records  E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records  E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports  F: Documentary  F: Press and Publicity  G: Correspondence	B: Site Data – Text: Catalogue of Drawings	
C: Finds Data – Text: Primary Finds Data C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/Xrays E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports F: Documentary F: Press and Publicity G: Correspondence	B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings	
C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data  C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports  C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List  D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/Xrays  E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records  E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records  E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports  F: Documentary  F: Press and Publicity  G: Correspondence	B: Site Data - Text: Synthesised Drawings	
C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports  C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List  D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/Xrays  E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records  E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records  E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports  F: Documentary  F: Press and Publicity  G: Correspondence	C: Finds Data – Text: Primary Finds Data	
C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List  D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/Xrays  E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records  E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records  E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports  F: Documentary  F: Press and Publicity  G: Correspondence	C: Finds Data - Text: Synthesised Finds Data	
D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/Xrays  E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records  E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records  E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports  F: Documentary  F: Press and Publicity  G: Correspondence	C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records  E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records  E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports  F: Documentary  F: Press and Publicity  G: Correspondence	C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records  E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports  F: Documentary  F: Press and Publicity  G: Correspondence	D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/Xrays	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports  F: Documentary  F: Press and Publicity  G: Correspondence	E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records	
F: Documentary F: Press and Publicity G: Correspondence	E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records	
F: Press and Publicity G: Correspondence	E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports	
G: Correspondence	F: Documentary	
	F: Press and Publicity	
H: Miscellaneous	G: Correspondence	
	H: Miscellaneous	

#### 3 Thames Street, Wallingford

#### **Design Brief for Archaeological Watching Brief**

#### 1. SUMMARY OF BRIEF:

- 1.1 This brief provides the outline framework on which a detailed specification of work should be based. It is advisable that archaeological organisations forward the specification to the County Archaeological Officer or his representative for validation before submitting costed proposals to the agency commissioning the Watching Brief.
- 1.2 A formal programme of archaeological observation and investigation shall be conducted during any operations on site that may disturb or destroy archaeological deposits. Significant features to be hand cleaned and sample excavated.

#### BACKGROUND:

#### 2.1 Site Location and Description

2.1.1 The site is located in the east of Wallingford, on the eastern side of Thames St to the south of the junction with St Peters St (NGR SU 6089 8941). The site lies at approximately 47m OD and the underlying geology is river gravel overlain by alluvium. The site is currently in residential use.

## 2.2 Planning Background

2.2.1 Planning permission has been granted for the construction of a Single-storey extension and the repositioning of a pedestrian gate (P11/W0075). Due to the potential disturbance of below ground archaeological features a condition has been requested on the planning permission requiring that an archaeological watching brief be maintained during the period of ground works. This is in line with PPS5 and Local Plan Policies. This brief outlines our requirements for the watching brief.

#### 2.3 Archaeological Background

- 2.3.1 The building concerned lies within an area of some archaeological interest located within the historic core of the Saxon defended town. The site is located immediately west of the suggested line of the Saxon defences. An archaeological watching brief carried out on the adjacent property to the south recorded during excavation of basement and foundations for new house revealed numerous pits of early medieval and post medieval date. The site appears to have been occupied during later 11th and 12th C abandoned in 13th and reoccupied later in the C16<sup>th</sup> -17<sup>th</sup> (EOX 1386). A separate watching brief was undertaken on the adjacent property to the east which recorded evidence for a post medieval house however the investigation consisted of the monitoring of eight 600mm square pads and a 1200mm square soakaway (EOX 1989).
- 2.3.2 The site is also located 65m NW of the proposed site of the Church of St John (PRN 7788) which was in existence by 1160 and destroyed by 1550 and a small investigation in the early 1980s 40m east, at Bridge House, recorded a number of substantial wall footings.

#### 3. REQUIREMENT FOR WORK:

- 3.1 This Archaeological Watching Brief has been required in accordance with PPS 5 because of the presence of known sites of archaeological interest within the immediate vicinity of the development.
- 3.2 The requirements are for a formal programme of observation and investigation conducted during any operations on site that may disturb or destroy archaeological deposits. The programme will result in the preparation and dissemination of a report and ordered archive. Archive deposition, publication and dissemination should follow the guidelines outlined in Annexes 2, 4, 5 and 6 of the Evaluation Brief.
- 3.3 The Archaeological Watching Brief should, within the resources available, allow the preservation by record of archaeological deposits, the presence and nature of which could not be established (or established with sufficient accuracy) in advance of development or other potentially disruptive works.
- 3.4 It should provide an opportunity, if needed, for the engaged archaeological organisations to signal, before the destruction of the material in question, that an archaeological find has been made for which the resources allocated are not sufficient to support a treatment to a satisfactory and proper standard.
- 3.5 Should the Watching Brief encounter archaeological remains of sufficient significance, it will not replace any requirement for contingent excavation or the physical preservation of those remains.

#### 4. SPECIFIC REQUIREMENTS:

4.1 The watching brief will be permanently maintained during the period of ground works including surface stripping, the excavation of foundations and service trenches, landscaping works and all other invasive work. Provision should be made for taking environmental/organic samples. The archaeological contractors should notify CAS prior to site works commencing.

Richard Oram
Planning Archaeologist
County Archaeological Services

16<sup>th</sup> June 2011



## 3 Thames Street, Wallingford, Oxfordshire

## Written Scheme of Investigation for a Watching Brief

## Centred on NGR SU 6089 8941

## **Table of Contents**

1	Introduc	tion	3
	1.1	Project details	3
	1.2	Location, geology and topography	3
2	Archaeo	logical and Historical Background and Potential	3
	2.1	Archaeological and historical background	.3
	2.2	Potential	3
3	Project A	Aims	4
	3.1	General	.4
4	Project S	Specific Excavation and Recording Methodology	4
	4.1	Scope of works	.4
	4.2	Programme	.4
	4.3	Site specific methodology	.4
5	Project S	Specific Reporting and Archive Methodology	5
	5.1	Programme	5
	5.2	Content	5
	5.3	Specialist input	5
	5.4	Archive	5
6	Health a	nd Safety	5
	6.1	Roles and responsibilities	. 5
	6.2	Method statement and risk assessment	5
7	Monitori	ng of works	6
0	A Standa	rd Fieldwork Methodology Appendices	7
A	ppendix A	A. General Excavation and Recording Methodology	7
	A.1	Standard methodology – summary	





A.2 Relevant industry standards and guidelines	8
A.3 Relevant OA manual and other supporting documentation	8
Appendix B. Geomatics and Survey	8
B.1 Standard methodology – summary	8
B.2 Relevant industry standards and guidelines	10
B.3 Relevant OA manual and other supporting documentation	10
Appendix C. Environmental evidence	10
C.1 Summary of Standard methodology	10
C.2 Relevant Industry Standards and Guidelines	11
C.3 Relevant OA manual and other supporting documentation	12
Appendix D. Artefactual evidence	12
D.1 Summary of Standard methodology	12
D.2 Relevant industry standards and guidelines	13
D.3 Relevant OA manual and other supporting documentation	13
Appendix E. Burials	14
E.1 Summary of Standard methodology	14
E.2 Relevant industry standards and guidelines	15
E.3 Relevant OA manual and other supporting documentation	16
Appendix F. Reporting	16
F.1 Summary of Standard methodology	16
F.2 Relevant industry standards and guidelines	18
Appendix G. List of specialists regularly used by OA	18
Appendix H. Documentary Archiving	20
H.1 Standard methodology – summary	20
H.2 Relevant industry standards and guidelines	21
H.3 Relevant OA manual and other supporting documentation	21
Appendix I. Health and Safety	21
I.1 Summary of Standard Methodology	21



#### 1 Introduction

## 1.1 Project details

- 1.1.1 Oxford Archaeology (OA), has been commissioned by Gordon Spencer to undertake an archaeological watching brief of the site of a proposed extension to 3 Thames Street, Wallingford.
- 1.1.2 The work is being undertaken as a condition of Planning Permission (planning ref: P11/W0075). A brief has been set by the Planning Archaeologist, Oxfordshire County Archaeological Services detailing the Local Authority's requirements for work necessary to discharge the planning condition; this document outlines how OA will implement those requirements.
- 1.1.3 All work will be undertaken in accordance with local and national planning policies.

## 1.2 Location, geology and topography

1.2.1 The site is located in the east of Wallingford, on the eastern side of Thames St to the south of the junction with St Peters St (NGR SU 6089 8941). The site lies at approximately 47m OD and the underlying geology is river gravel overlain by alluvium. The site is currently in residential use.

## 2 Archaeological and Historical Background and Potential

## 2.1 Archaeological and historical background

- 2.1.1 The building concerned lies within an area of some archaeological interest located within the historic core of the Saxon defended town. The site is located immediately west of the suggested line of the Saxon defences. An archaeological watching brief carried out on the adjacent property to the south recorded during excavation of basement and foundations for new house revealed numerous pits of early medieval and post medieval date. The site appears to have been occupied during later 11th and 12th C abandoned in 13th and reoccupied later in the C16th -17th (EOX 1386). A separate watching brief was undertaken on the adjacent property to the east which recorded evidence for a post medieval house however the investigation consisted of the monitoring of eight 600mm square pads and a 1200mm square soakaway (EOX 1989).
- 2.1.2 The site is also located 65m NW of the proposed site of the Church of St John (PRN 7788) which was in existence by 1160 and destroyed by 1550 and a small investigation in the early 1980s 40m east, at Bridge House, recorded a number of substantial wall footings.

#### 2.2 Potential

2.2.1 There are known sites of archaeological interest within the immediate vicinity of the development and there is therefore reasonable potential for similar remains to be encountered on this site.



#### 3 PROJECT AIMS

#### 3.1 General

- 3.1.1 To establish the presence or absence of archaeological remains within the proposed development area.
- 3.1.2 To record the extent, condition, nature, character, quality and date of any archaeological remains affected by the proposed works.
- 3.1.3 To establish the ecofactual and environmental potential of archaeological deposits and features within the site and to take samples where appropriate.
- 3.1.4 To make available the results of the investigation.

## 4 Project Specific Excavation and Recording Methodology

### 4.1 Scope of works

4.1.1 The development comprises the construction of a single-storey extension and repositioning of a pedestrian gate. Intrusive works may include excavation of foundations ground reduction and landscaping and installation of associated services.

## 4.2 Programme

- 4.2.1 The fieldwork will follow the site contractors programme and will start in late July 2011. It will be undertaken by a Project Supervisor. Additional Project Archaeologists will be deployed if required. The work will be under the management of David Score, Senior Project Manager.
- 4.2.2 All fieldwork undertaken by Oxford Archaeology (South) is overseen by the Head of Fieldwork, Dan Poore MIFA.

#### 4.3 Site specific methodology

- 4.3.1 A summary of OA's general approach to excavation and recording can be found in Appendix A. Standard methodologies for Geomatics and Survey, Environmental evidence, Artefactual evidence and Burials can also be found below (Appendices B, C, D and E respectively).
- 4.3.2 Site specific methodologies will be as follows:
  - (i) The requirement is for a formal programme of observation and investigation conducted during any operations on site that may disturb or destroy archaeological deposits.
  - (ii) The watching brief will be permanently maintained during the period of ground works including surface stripping, the excavation of foundations and service trenches, landscaping works and all other invasive work.
  - (iii) Provision will be made for taking environmental/organic samples if appropriate.
  - (iv) If archaeological deposits are noted the site contractor will make sufficient time available for them to be properly investigated and recorded.
  - (v) Significant features will be hand cleaned and sample excavated.



(i) If a significant discovery is made the attending archaeologist will inform the contractor, client and County Archaeologist so that appropriate action can be agreed.

#### 5 Project Specific Reporting and Archive Methodology

#### 5.1 Programme

- 5.1.1 The report will be completed within 6 weeks of the completion of the fieldwork.
- 5.1.2 Bound copies of the completed report will be provided to Oxfordshire County Archaeological Service. A digital copy of the report in Adobe Acrobat (.pdf) format will also be provided.

#### 5.2 Content

5.2.1 The content of this report will be as defined in Appendix F.

## 5.3 Specialist input

5.3.1 OA has a large pool of internal specialists, as well as a network of external specialists with whom OA have well established working relationships. A general list of these specialists is presented in Appendix G; in the event that additional input should be required, an updated list of specialists can be supplied.

#### 5.4 Archive

- 5.4.1 The site archive will be deposited with Oxfordshire Museum Service following completion of the project.
- 5.4.2 A summary of OA's general approach to documentary archiving can be found in Appendix H.

#### 6 HEALTH AND SAFETY

#### 6.1 Roles and responsibilities

- 6.1.1 The Senior Project Manager, David Score, has responsibility for ensuring that safe systems of work are adhered to on site. He delegates elements of this responsibility to the Site Supervisor, who implements these on a day to day basis.
- 6.1.2 The Director with responsibility for Health and Safety at OA is Robert Williams (Chief Operations Officer); he is advised by the OA Group Health and Safety Coordinator, Dan Poore (NEBOSH Level 3).

#### 6.2 Method statement and risk assessment

- 6.2.1 A summary of OA's general approach to health and safety can be found in Appendix I. A risk assessment will be undertaken and approved and will be kept on site, along with OA's standard health and safety file, which will contain all relevant health and safety documentation.
- 6.2.2 The H and S file will be available to view at any time.



## 7 Monitoring of works

- 7.1.1 The Planning Archaeologist for Oxfordshire County Council will be notified of the commencement of works on site.
- 7.1.2 The Planning Archaeologist will have free access to the site (subject to H and S considerations) and all records to ensure the works are being carried out in accordance with this WSI and all other relevant standards.



## OA STANDARD FIELDWORK METHODOLOGY APPENDICES

The following methods and terms will apply, where appropriate, to all OA fieldwork unless varied by the accompanying detailed Written Scheme of Investigation.

Copies of all OA internal standards and guidelines referred to below are available on request.

#### APPENDIX A. GENERAL EXCAVATION AND RECORDING METHODOLOGY

## A.1 Standard methodology – summary

#### Mechanical excavation

- A.1.1 An appropriate mechanical excavator will be used for machine excavated trenches. This will normally be a JCB or 360° tracked excavator with a 1.8 m to 2 m wide toothless ditching bucket. For work with restricted access or working room a mini excavator will be used.
- A.1.2 All mechanical excavation will be undertaken under direct archaeological supervision.
- A.1.3 All undifferentiated topsoil or overburden of recent origin will be removed down to the first significant archaeological horizon, in successive, level spits.
- A.1.4 Following mechanical excavation, all areas of the trench that require examination or recording will be cleaned using appropriate hand tools.
- A.1.5 Spoil heaps will be monitored in order to recover artefacts to assist in the analysis of the spatial distribution of artefacts. Modern artefacts will be noted but not retained.
- A.1.6 After recording, the trenches will be backfilled with excavated material in reverse order of excavation, but will otherwise not be fully reinstated.

#### Hand excavation

- A.1.7 All investigation of archaeological levels will be by hand, with cleaning, examination and recording both in plan and section.
- A.1.8 Within significant archaeological levels the minimum number of features required to meet the aims will be hand excavated. Pits and postholes will usually be subject to a 50% sample by volume. Linear features will be sectioned as appropriate. Features not suited to excavation within narrow trenches will not be sampled. No archaeological deposits will be entirely removed unless this is unavoidable.
- A.1.9 It is not necessarily the intention that all trial trenches will be fully excavated to natural stratigraphy, but the depth of archaeological deposits across the entire site will be assessed. The stratigraphy of all evaluation trenches will be recorded even where no archaeological deposits have been identified.
- A.1.10 Any excavation, both by machine and by hand, will be undertaken with a view to avoiding damage to any archaeological features or deposits, which appear to be worthy of preservation in situ.

#### Recording

A.1.11 Written descriptions will be recorded on proforma sheets comprising factual data and interpretative elements.



- A.1.12 Where stratified deposits are encountered a Harris matrix will be compiled during the course of the excavation.
- A.1.13 Plans will normally drawn at 1:100, but on urban or deeply stratified sites a scale of 1:50 or 1:20 will be used. Detailed plans will be at an appropriate scale. Burials will be drawn at scale 1:10 or recorded using geo-referenced digital photography.
- A.1.14 The site grid will be accurately tied into the National Grid and located on the 1:2500 or 1:1250 map of the area.
- A.1.15 A register of plans will be kept.
- A.1.16 Long sections of trenches showing layers will be drawn at 1:50. Sections of features or short lengths of trenches will be drawn at 1:20.
- A.1.17 A register of sections will be kept.
- A.1.18 Generally all sections will be tied in to Ordnance Datum.
- A.1.19 A full black and white and colour (digital) photographic record, illustrating in both detail and general context the principal features and finds discovered will be maintained. The photographic record will also include working shots to illustrate more generally the nature of the archaeological work.
- A.1.20 Photographs will be recorded on OA Photographic Record Sheets.

## A.2 Relevant industry standards and guidelines

- A.2.1 The Institute for Archaeologists' Standard and Guidance notes relevant to fieldwork are:
  - Standard and Guidance for Field Evaluation
  - Standard and Guidance for Excavation
  - Standard and Guidance for an Archaeological Watching Brief.
- A.2.2 These will be adhered to at all times.

#### A.3 Relevant OA manual and other supporting documentation

- A.3.1 All fieldwork will be undertaken in accordance with the requirements of the OA Field Manual (ed. D Wilkinson 1992), and the revised OA fieldwork manual (publication forthcoming).
- A.3.2 Further guidance is provided to all excavators in the form of the OA 'Fieldwork Crib Sheets a companion guide to the Fieldwork Manual'. These have been issued ahead of formal publication of the revised Fieldwork Manual.

#### APPENDIX B. GEOMATICS AND SURVEY

#### **B.1 Standard methodology – summary**

- B.1.1 The aim of OA methodology is to provide comprehensive survey cover of all investigation areas. Additionally, it is designed to provide coverage for any areas, beyond the original scope of the project, which arise as a result of further work. It provides digital plans of all required elements of the project and locates them within an overall grid.
- B.1.2 It also maintains all necessary survey data and ensures that the relevant information is copied into the primary record, in order to ensure the integrity of the project archive. Furthermore, it ensures that all core data is securely stored and backed up. It



- establishes accurate project reference systems utilising a series of control stations and permanent base lines.
- B.1.3 The survey will be conducted using a combination of Total Station Theodolite (TST) survey utilising Reflectorless Electronic Distance Measurement (REDM) where appropriate, hand-measured elements and GPS (Global Positioning System).
- B.1.4 Before the main work commences, a network of control stations will be laid out encompassing the area. Control stations will be tied in to known points or existing features using rigorous metric observation. The control network will be set in using a TST to complete a traverse or using techniques as appropriate to ensure sufficient accuracy. A GPS, or other appropriate method, will be used to orientate the control network to National Grid or other recognised coordinate system.
- B.1.5 All control stations will be checked by closed traverse and/or GPS, as appropriate. The accuracy of these control stations will be accessed on a regular basis and reestablished accordingly. All stations will be recorded on Survey Control Station sheets.
- B.1.6 Each control station will be marked with a PGM (Permanent Ground Marker). Witness diagrams will include the full 3-D co-ordinates generated, a sketch diagram and measurements to at least three fixed details, written description of the mark and a photograph of the control point in its environs.
- B.1.7 Prior to entry into the field all equipment will be checked, and all pre-survey information will be logged onto the field computer and uploaded onto survey equipment as appropriate. The software in the field computer will be verified and all cabling between the GPS and/or TST and computer will be checked. Prior to conducting the survey the site will be reconnoitred for locations for a viable control network and check the line of sight and any possible hindrance to survey. Daily record sheets will be kept to record daily tasks and conditions.
- B.1.8 All spatial data will be periodically downloaded onto a field computer, and backed up onto CD, or DVD. It will be cleaned, validated and inspected.
- B.1.9 All survey data will be documented on daily survey record sheets. Information entered on these sheets includes key set up information (Instrument height etc.) as well as daily variables and errors/comments. All survey data will be digitally recorded in a raw format and translated during the download process this shall allow for any errors to be cross referenced with the daily survey record and corrected accordingly.
- B.1.10 A weekly summary of survey work will be produced to access development and highlight problems. This information also will be recorded on the weekly survey journal. Technical support for the survey equipment and download software shall be available at all times. In those instances where sites are remotely operated, all digital data will be backed up regularly and a copy returned to Oxford on a weekly basis.
- B.1.11 A site plan will initially be created by a rapid survey of relevant archaeological features by mapping their extent using a combination of TST and GPS. This will form the basis for deciding excavation strategy and will be updated as the excavation clarifies the extent of, and relationships between, archaeological features.
- B.1.12 Excavated archaeological interventions and areas of complex stratigraphy will be hand drawn. At least two Drawing Points (DPs) will be set in as a baseline and measurements taken off this by tape and offset. The hand drawn plans will be referenced to the digitally captured pre-site plan by measuring in the DPs with a TST or GPS. These hand drawn elements will then be scanned in, geo-referenced using the



- DPs as reference points and digitised following OA's digitising protocols. For further details on hand planning procedure please refer to the fieldwork guidelines.
- B.1.13 Where appropriate rectified photography may be used to record standing structures or burials. This will be carried out in line with Standard OA procedures for rectified photography.
- B.1.14 Survey data recorded in the field will be downloaded using appropriate downloading software, and saved as an AutoCAD Map DWG file, or an ESRI Shapefile. These files will be regularly updated and backed up with originals being stored on an OA server in Oxford.
- B.1.15 All drawings will be composed of closed polygons, polylines or points in accordance with the requirements of GIS construction and OA Geomatics protocols. Once created, additional GIS/CAD work will normally be carried out at the local OA central office or at on-site remote locations when appropriate. Support for all GIS/CAD work will be available from OA's Oxford Office during normal office hours. The aim of the GIS/CAD work is to produce workable draft plans, which can be produced as stand-alone products, or can be readily converted to GIS format. Any hand-drawn plans will be scanned and digitised on site in the first instance. Subsequent plans will be added to the main drawing as it develops.
- B.1.16 All plan scans will be numbered according to their plan site number. Digital plans will be given a standard new plan number taken out from the site plan index.
- B.1.17 All digital data will be backed up incrementally on CD or DVD. On each Friday the entire data directory will be backed up and returned to Oxford where it will be copied onto the OA projects server. Each CAD drawing will contain an information layout which will include all the relevant details appertaining to that drawing. Information (metadata) on all other digital files will be created and stored as appropriate. At the end of the survey all raw measurements will be made available as hard copy for archiving purposes.

#### B.2 Relevant industry standards and guidelines

- B.2.1 English Heritage (2009), Metric Survey Specifications for Cultural Heritage
- B.2.2 English Heritage (2006), Understanding Historic Buildings A Guide to Good Practise
- B.2.3 English Heritage, (2007) Understanding the Archaeology of Landscapes A Guide to Good Recording practise

## B.3 Relevant OA manual and other supporting documentation

- B.3.1 J OA South Metric Survey, Data Capture and Download Procedures
- **B.3.2** OA South Digitising Protocols
- B.3.3 OA South GIS Protocols
- B.3.4 These will be superseded by the OA South Geomatics Manual (in progress).

## APPENDIX C. ENVIRONMENTAL EVIDENCE

#### C.1 Summary of Standard methodology

C.1.1 Different environmental and geoarchaeological sampling strategies may be employed according to established research targets and the perceived importance of the strata under investigation. Where possible an environmental and/or geoarchaeological



specialist(s) will visit the site to advise on sampling strategies. Sampling methods will follow guidelines produced by English Heritage and Oxford Archaeology. A register of samples will be kept. Specialists will be consulted where non-standard sampling is required (eg. OSL or archaeomagnetic dating) and if appropriate will be invited to visit the site and take the samples.

- C.1.2 Geoarchaeological sampling methods are site specific, and methodologies will be designed in consultation with the geoarchaeological manager on a site by site basis.
- C.1.3 Bulk soil samples, where possible of 40 litres or 100% of a deposit if less is available, will be taken from potentially datable features and layers for flotation for charred plant remains and for the recovery of small bones and artefacts. Larger soil samples (up to 100L) may be taken for the complete recovery of animal bones, marine shell and small artefacts from appropriate contexts. Smaller bulk samples (general biological samples) of 10-20 litres will be taken from any waterlogged deposits present for the recovery of macroscopic plant remains and insects. Series of incremental 2L samples may be taken through buried soils and deep feature fills for the recovery of snails and/or waterlogged plant remains, depending on the nature of the stratigraphy and of the soils and sediments. Columns will be taken from buried soils, peats and waterlogged feature fills for pollen and/or phytoliths, diatoms, ostracods and foraminifera if appropriate. Soil samples will be taken for soil investigations (particle size, organic matter, bulk chemistry, soil micromorphology etc.) in consultation with an appropriate specialist.
- C.1.4 Bulk samples from dry deposits will be processed by standard water flotation using a modified Siraf-style machine and meshes of 0.25mm (flot) and 0.5 or 1mm depending (residue). Heavy residues will be wet sieved, air dried and sorted. Samples taken exclusively for the recovery of bones, marine shell or artefacts will be wet sieved to 2mm. Waterlogged samples (1L sub-sample) and snail samples (2L) will be processed by hand flotation with flots and residues collected to 0.25mm (waterlogged plants) and 0.5mm (snails) respectively; these flots and residues will be sorted by the specialist. Samples specifically taken for insects, pollen and other microflora and microfauna and soil analysis will be submitted as whole earth to the appropriate specialists or processed following their instructions.

#### C.2 Relevant Industry Standards and Guidelines

- C.2.1 Brunning, R. 1996. Waterlogged wood: the recording, sampling, conservation, and curation of structural wood. English Heritage Guidelines
- C.2.2 English Heritage 2001. Archaeometallurgy. Centre for Archaeology Guidelines 2001.01.
- C.2.3 English Heritage 2002. Environmental Archaeology. A guide to the theory and practice of methods, from sampling and recovery to post excavation. Centre for Archaeology Guidelines 2002.01.
- C.2.4 English Heritage 2004. Dendrochronology: Guidelines on Producing and Interpreting Dendrochronological Dates.
- C.2.5 English Heritage 2006. Archaeomagnetic Dating. Guidelines for Producing and Interpreting Archaeomagnetic Dates.
- C.2.6 English Heritage 2007. Geoarchaeology. Using Earth Sciences to Understand the Archaeological Record.
- C.2.7 English Heritage 2008. Luminescence Dating. Guidelines on Using Luminescence Dating in Archaeology.



C.2.8 English Heritage 2008. Guidelines for the Curation of Waterlogged Macroscopic Plant and Invertebrate Remains.

## C.3 Relevant OA manual and other supporting documentation

C.3.1 Oxford Archaeology 2005. Environmental Sampling Guidelines, 2nd ed.

#### APPENDIX D. ARTEFACTUAL EVIDENCE

## D.1 Summary of Standard methodology

- D.1.1 Before a site begins arrangements concerning the finds will be discussed with the Head of Finds. Information will be provided by the project manager about the nature of the site, the expected size and make-up of the finds assemblage and any site specific finds retrieval strategies. On-site requirements will be discussed and a conservator appointed who can be called on to make site visits if required. Special requirements regarding particular categories of material will be raised at this early stage for instance the likelihood of recovering assemblages of waterlogged material, large timbers, quantities of structural stone or ceramic building material. Specialists may be required to visit sites to discuss retrieval strategies.
- D.1.2 The project manager will supply the Head of Finds with contact details of the landowner of the site so that consent to deposit any finds resulting from the investigation can be sought.
- D.1.3 The on-site retrieval, lifting and short term packaging of bulk and small finds will follow the detailed guidelines set out in the OA Finds Manual (sections 2 and 3), First Aid for Finds and the UKIC conservation guidelines No.2.
- D.1.4 All finds recovered from site will be transported to an OA regional office for processing; local sites will return finds at the end of each day, away based sites at the end of each week. Special arrangements can be discussed for certain sites with the department manager before the start of a project. Larger long running sites may in some instances set up on-site processing units to deal with the material from a particular site.
- D.1.5 All finds qualifying as Treasure will be removed to a safe place and reported to the local Coroner according to the procedures relating to the Treasure Act (1996), and the Treasure (Designation) Order 2002. Where removal can not be effected on the same working day as the discovery, suitable security measures will be taken to protect the finds from theft.
- D.1.6 Each box of finds will be accompanied by a finds context checklist itemising the finds within each box. The number of bags of finds from each context and individual small find from each context will be recorded. A member of the processing team will check the list when it arrives in the department. There are separate forms for finds recovered from fieldwalking.
- D.1.7 The processing programme is reviewed on a weekly basis and priorities are worked out after discussions with the Head of Fieldwork and the Head of Post-excavation. Project managers will keep the Head of Finds informed of any pressing deadlines that they are aware of. All finds from evaluations are dealt with as a matter of priority.
- D.1.8 All bulk finds are washed (where appropriate), marked, bagged and boxed by the processing team according to the guidelines set out in section 4 and 5 of the OA Finds Manual, First-aid for finds and the UKIC guidelines No.2. They must also take into



- account the requirements of the receiving museum. Primary data recording count and weight of fragments by material from each context is recorded on the site database.
- D.1.9 Unstable and sensitive objects are recorded onto the database and then packaged and stored in controlled environments according to their individual requirements. The advice of a conservator will be sought for sensitive objects in need of urgent conservation. All metalwork will be x-rayed prior to assessment (and to meet the requirements of most receiving museums).
- D.1.10 Finds recovered from the environmental sample processing will be incorporated into the main assemblage and added to the database.
- D.1.11 On completion of the processing and data entry a finds file for each archaeological investigation will be produced, a summary of which is available for the project manager. The assemblage is allocated an OA number for storage purposes. Bulk finds are stored on a roller racking system, metals in a secure controlled storage and organic finds are refrigerated where possible.
- D.1.12 The movement of finds in and out of the department storage areas is strictly monitored and recorded. Carbon copy transit forms exist to record this information. Finds will not be removed from storage without the prior knowledge of the Head of Finds.
- D.1.13 Finds information summarised in the finds compendium is used to assess the finds requirements for the post excavation stages of the project. The Finds department holds a list of all specialists used by OA (see below) both internal and external.
- D.1.14 On completion of the post excavation stage of the project the department prepares the finds assemblage for deposition with the receiving museum. Discussions will be held with the museum, the excavator and the head of finds to finalise any selection, retention or discard policy. Most museums issue strict guidelines for the preparation of archives for deposition with their individual labelling, packaging and recording requirements.

#### D.2 Relevant industry standards and guidelines

- D.2.1 UKIC, 1983, Packaging and Storage of Freshly-Excavated Artefacts from Archaeological Sites. Conservation Guidelines No.2. Archaeology Section, United Kingdom Institute for Conservation.
- D.2.2 UKIC, 1988, Excavated Artefacts and Conservation: UK sites Revised Edition. Conservation Guidelines No.1. Archaeology Section, United Kingdom Institute for Conservation.
- D.2.3 Society of Museum Archaeologists, 1993, Selection, retention and dispersal of Archaeological Collections. Download available via http://www.socmusarch.org.uk/publica.htm)
- D.2.4 Watkinson, D E & Neal, V, 1998, First Aid for Finds (3rd edition). RESCUE & UKIC

#### D.3 Relevant OA manual and other supporting documentation

D.3.1 Allen, L, and Cropper, C (internal publication only) Oxford Archaeology Finds Manual.



## APPENDIX E. BURIALS

## E.1 Summary of Standard methodology

- E.1.1 Human remains will not be excavated without a relevant licence/faculty and, where applicable (for example, a post medieval cemetery), a risk assessment from the local environmental officer.
- E.1.2 All human remains will be treated with due care and regard to the sensitivities involved, and will be screened from the public throughout the course of the works.
- E.1.3 Excavation will be undertaken in accordance with IFA (Roberts and McKinley 1993) and English Heritage and The Church of England guidelines (Mays 2005). For crypts and post-medieval burials the recommendations set out by the IFA (Cox 2001) in Crypt Archaeology: an approach, are also relevant.
- E.1.4 In accordance with recommendations set out in the English Heritage and Church of England (2005) document Guidance for best practice for treatment of human remains excavated from Christian burial grounds in England, skeletons will not be excavated beyond the limits of the trench, unless they are deemed osteologically or archaeologically important.
- E.1.5 Where any soft tissue survives and/or materials (for example, inner coffins, mattresses and other paddings) soaked in body liquor, no excavation or handling of the remains will take place until an appropriate risk assessment has been undertaken. Relevant protocols (i.e. Cox 2001) for their excavation, recording and removal will be adhered to.
- E.1.6 OA does not excavate or remove modern burials (post-1907) and does not remove or open sealed lead coffins. Appropriate PPE (e.g. chemical suit, latex gloves) will be worn by all staff when working with lead coffins.
- E.1.7 Graves and their contents will be hand excavated in plan. Each component (for example, skeleton, grave cut, coffin (or remains of), grave fill) will be assigned a unique context number from a running sequence. A group number will also be assigned to all of these, and small finds numbers to features such as coffin nails, hobnails and other grave goods (as appropriate).
- E.1.8 Soil samples will be taken during the excavation of inhumations, usually from the region of the skull, chest, right hand, left hand, abdomen and pelvis, right foot and left foot. Infants (circa. less than 5 years) will normally be recovered as bulk samples. Soil samples will also be taken from graves that appear to contain no human bone.
- E.1.9 Burials (including the skeleton, cremation, coffin fittings, coffin, urn, grave goods / other) will be recorded by photographic and written record using specialised pro forma context sheets, although these records may only include schematic representations of the location and position of the skeletons, depending on the nature and circumstances of the burial.
- E.1.10 Where necessary, hand drawn plans (usually at 1:10, sometimes 1:5) will be made, especially of contexts where required details cannot be adequately seen using digital rectified photography (for example, urned cremations; undisturbed hob nails).
- E.1.11 Levels will be taken. For inhumations this will be on the skull, pelvis and feet as a minimum.
- E.1.12 Human remains that are exhumed will be bagged and labelled according to skeletal region and carefully packed into suitable containers (for example, acid free cardboard



- boxes) and transported to a suitable storage location. Any associated coffins and coffin fittings will be contained with the human remains wherever possible.
- E.1.13 Unurned cremations will not usually be half sectioned or excavated in spits, but recovered as a bulk sample.
- E.1.14 Wherever possible, urned cremations will be carefully bandaged, recovered whole and will be excavated in spits in the laboratory, as per the recommendations of McKinley (2004).
- E.1.15 Unless deemed osteologically or archaeologically important disarticuled bone / charnel will be collected and reserved for re-burial if immediate re-internment as close to its original position is not practicable. In some instances, a rapid scan of this material may be undertaken by a qualified osteologist, if deemed relevant.
- E.1.16 If undisturbed, pyre sites will normally be excavated in quadrants, at the very least in 0.5 m blocks of 0.5 m spits.
- E.1.17 Pyre debris dumps will be half sectioned or quadranted and will be subject to 100% sampling.
- E.1.18 Wooden and lead coffins and any associated fittings, including fixing nails will be recorded on a pro forma coffin recording sheet. All surviving coffin fittings will be recorded by reference to Reeve and Adams (1993) and the unpublished master catalogue that is being compiled by OA. Where individual types cannot be paralleled, they will be drawn and/ or photographed and assigned a style number. Biographical details obtained from legible departum plate inscriptions will be recorded and further documentary research will be made.
- E.1.19 Funerary structures, such as brick shaft graves and/or vaults will be hand-drawn at a scale of 1:10 or 1:20, as appropriate. Location, dimensions and method of construction will be noted, and the structure added to the overall trench plan.
- E.1.20 Memorials, including headstones, revealed within the areas of development will be recorded irrespective of whether they are believed to be in situ.
- E.1.21 Where required, memorials will be accorded an individual context number and will also be included as part of the grave group, if the association with a burial is clear.
- E.1.22 Memorials will be recorded on pro-forma context sheets, based on and following the guidelines set out by Mytum (2002), and will include details of:
  - Shape
  - Dimensions
  - Type of stone used
  - Iconography (an illustration may best describe these features)
  - Inscription (verbatum record of inscription; font of the lettering)
  - Stylistic type

#### E.2 Relevant industry standards and guidelines

- E.2.1 Cox, M, 2001 Crypt archaeology. An approach. IFA Paper No. 3
- E.2.2 Mays, S, 2005 Guidance for Best Practice for Treatment of Human Remains Excavated from
- E.2.3 Christian Burial Grounds in England. Church or England and English Heritage.



- E.2.4 McKinley, J, and Roberts, C, 1993 Excavation and post-excavation treatment of cremated and inhumed human remains. IFA Technical Paper No. 13
- E.2.5 McKinley, J, 2004 Compiling a skeletal inventory: cremated human bone. In Brickley, M, and McKinley, J (eds) Guidelines to the Standards for Recording Human Remains, IFA Technical Paper No. 7. 9-13.
- E.2.6 Mytum, H, 2000 Recording and Analysing Graveyards. CBA Handbook No. 15.
- E.2.7 Reeve, J, and Adams, M, 1993 The Spitalfields Project. Volume I The Archaeology Across the Styx. CBA Research Report No. 85

## E.3 Relevant OA manual and other supporting documentation

- E.3.1 Loe, L, 2008 The Treatment of Human Remains in the Care of Oxford Archaeology. Oxford Archaeology internal policy document.
- E.3.2 Excavating and recording human remains. Oxford Archaeology internal guidelines document.

## APPENDIX F. REPORTING

## F.1 Summary of Standard methodology

- F.1.1 For Watching Briefs and Evaluations, the style and format of the report will be determined by OA, but will include as a minimum the following:
  - A location plan of trenches and/or other fieldwork in relation to the proposed development.
  - Plans and sections of features located at an appropriate scale.
  - A section drawing showing depth of deposits including present ground level with Ordnance Datum, vertical and horizontal scale.
  - A summary statement of the results.
  - A table summarising the features, classes and numbers of artefacts contained within, spot dating of significant finds and an interpretation.
  - A reconsideration of the methodology used, and a confidence rating for the results.
  - An interpretation of the archaeological findings both within the site and within their wider landscape/townscape setting.
- F.1.2 For Excavations, a Post-Excavation Assessment and Project Design will generally be prepared, as prescribed by English Heritage Management of Research Projects in the Historic Environment (MoRPHE) 2006, Section 2.3. This will include a Project Description containing:
  - A summary description and background of the project.
  - A summary of the quantities and assessment of potential for analysis of the information recovered for each category of site, finds, dating and environmental data. Detailed assessment reports will be contained within appendices.
  - An explicit statement of the scope of the project design and how the project relates to any other projects or work preceding, concurrent with or following on from it.



- A statement of the research aims of the fieldwork and an illustrated summary of results to date indicating to what extent the aims were fulfilled.
- A list of the project aims as revised in the light of the results of fieldwork and the current post-excavation assessment process.
- F.1.3 A section on Resources and Programming will also be produced, containing:
  - A list of the personnel involved indicating their qualifications for the tasks undertaken, along with an explanation of how the project team will communicate, both internally and externally.
  - A list of the methods which will be used to achieve the revised research aims.
  - A list of all the tasks involved in using the stated methods to achieve the aims and produce a report and research archive in the stated format, indicating the personnel and time in days involved in each task. Allowance should be made for general project-related tasks such as monitoring, management and project meetings, editorial and revision time.
  - A cascade or Gantt chart indicating tasks in the sequence and relationships required to complete the project. Due allowance will be made for leave and public holidays. Time will also be allowed for the report to be read by a named academic referee as agreed with the County Archaeological Officer, and by the County Archaeological Officer.
  - A report synopsis indicating publisher and report format, broken down into chapters, section headings and subheadings, with approximate word lengths and numbers and titles of illustrations per chapter. The structure of the report synopsis should explicitly reflect the research aims of the project.
- F.1.4 The Project Design will be submitted to the County Archaeological Officer or equivalent for agreement.
- F.1.5 Under certain circumstances (eg with very small mitigations), and as agreed with the County Archaeological Officer or equivalent, a formal Assessment and Project Design may not be required and either the project will continue straight to full analysis, or a simple Project Proposal (MoRPHE 2006 Section 2.1) will be produced prior to full analysis. This proposal may include:
  - A summary of the background to the project
  - Research aims and objectives
  - Methods statement outlining how the aims and objectives will be achieved
  - An outline of the stages, products and tasks
  - Proposed project team
  - Estimated overall timetable and budget if appropriate.
- F.1.6 Once the post-excavation Project Design or Project Proposal has been accepted, the County Archaeological Officer or his appointed deputy will monitor the progress of the post-excavation project at agreed points. Any significant variation in the project design will be agreed with the County Archaeological Officer.
- F.1.7 The results of the project will be published in an appropriate archaeological journal or monograph. The appropriate level of publication will be dependent on the significance of the fieldwork results and will be agreed with the County Archaeological Officer. An



OASIS (Online Access to the Index of Archaeological Investigations) form will be completed for each project as per English Heritage guidelines.

## F.2 Relevant industry standards and guidelines

F.2.1 Oxford Archaeology (OA) adheres to the national standards in post-excavation procedure as outlined in English Heritage's Management of Research Projects in the Historic Environment (MoRPHE; EH 2006). Furthermore, all post-excavation projects take into account the appropriate regional research frameworks as well as national research agendas such as the Framework for Historic Environment Activities & Programmes in English Heritage (SHAPE; EH 2008).

## APPENDIX G. LIST OF SPECIALISTS REGULARLY USED BY OA

G.1.1 Below are two tables, one containing 'in-house' OA specialists, and the other containing a list of specialists who are regularly used by OA.

#### Internal archaeological specialists used by OA

Specialist	Specialism	Qualifications	
Lisa Brown	Early Prehistoric pottery	BA, PGDip, Mlitt, MlfA	
Paul Booth	Iron Age and Roman pottery	BA, FSA, MIfA	
John Cotter	Medieval and Post Medieval pottery, Clay Pipe and CBM	BA (Hon.), MIfA	
Cynthia Poole	CBM and Fired Clay	BA (Hon.), MSc	
Edward Biddulph	Roman Pottery	BA (Hon.), MA, MIfA	
Ian Scott	Metalwork and Glass	BA (Hon.)	
Dan Stansbie	Roman Pottery	BA (Hon.), MA, AlfA	
Leigh Allen	Metalwork and worked bone	BA (Hon.), PGDip	
Dr Ruth Shaffrey	Worked stone artefacts	BA, PhD	
Julian Munby	Architectural Stone	BA, FSA	
Dr Rebecca Nicholson	Fish and Bird Bone	BA (Hon.), MA, D.Phil, MlfA, FS, Scot	
Elizabeth Huckerby	Pollen and waterlogged plant remains	BA, MSc, MIfA	
Lena Strid	Animal bone	MA	
Dr Wendy Smith	Charred and waterlogged plant remains	BA, MSc, PhD, MIfA	
Andrew Bates	Animal Bone	BA, MA	
Dr Denise Druce Pollen	Charred plant remains and charcoal	BA, PhD, MIfA	
Liz Stafford Geoarchaeology and land snails		BA, Msc	
Nicola Scott	Archaeological archive deposition	ВА	



Specialist	Specialism	Qualifications
Mike Donnelly	Flint	Bsc, MIfA

## External archaeological specialists regularly used by OA

Specialist	Specialism	Qualifications		
Lynne Keys	Slag	BA (Hon.)		
Quita Mould	Leather	BA, MA		
Penelope Walton Rogers, The Anglo Saxon Laboratory		FSA, Dip.Acc		
Dana Goodburn Brown	Conservation	BSc (Hon.), BA, MSc		
Steve Allen, York Archaeological Trust	Conservation	BA, MA, MAAIS		
Dr Richard McPhail	Soils, especially Micromorphology	BA (Hon.), MSc, PhD		
Dana Challinor	Charcoal	MA (Hon.), MSc		
Dr Nigel Cameron	Diatoms	BSc, MSc, PhD		
Dr David Smith	Insects	BA (Hon.), MA, PhD		
Professor Adrian Parker	Phytoliths and pollen	Bsc (Hons.), D.Phil		
Dr David Starley	Slag	BSc, PhD		
Wendy Carruthers	Charred and waterlogged plant remains			
Dr Sylvia Peglar	Pollen	PhD		
Dr John Whittaker	Ostracods and Foraminifera	BA (Hons), PhD		
Dr John Crowther	Soil Chemistry	MA, PhD		
Dr Martin Bates	Geoarchaeology	Bsc, PhD		
Professor Mark Robinson	Insects, molluscs, waterlogged plant remains	MA, PhD		
Dr Dan Miles	Dendrochronology	D.Phil, FSA		
Dr Jean-luc Schwenninger	Optically Stimulated Luminescence Dating	PhD		
Dr David Higgins	Clay Pipe	BA, PhD, MIfA		
Dr Hugo Lamdin Wymark	Flint	BSc, PhD, FSA Scot, MIfA		



## APPENDIX H. DOCUMENTARY ARCHIVING

## H.1 Standard methodology – summary

- H.1.1 The documentary archive constitutes all the written, drawn, photographic and digital records relating to the set up, fieldwork and post-excavation phases of the project. This documentary archive, together with the artefactual and environmental ecofact archive collectively forms the record of the site. The report is part of the documentary archive, and the archive must provide the evidence that supports the conclusions of the report, but the archive may also include data which exceeds the limitations of research parameters set down for the report and which could be of significant value to future researchers.
- H.1.2 At the outset of the project OA Archive department will contact the relevant local receiving museum or archive repository to notify them of the imminent start of a new fieldwork project in their collecting area. Relevant local archiving guidelines will be observed and site codes, which integrate with the receiving repository, will be agreed for labelling of archives and finds.
- H.1.3 During the course of the project the Archive department will assist the Project Manager in the management of the archive including the cataloguing and development technique suitable for photographic archive requirements.
- H.1.4 The site archive will be security copied either by microfilming and the master sent to English Heritage as part of the National Archaeological Record or it will be digitally scanned and stored in a dedicated archive section of the OA computer network. A copy of the work as microfiche diazo or .pdf/a on disk will be sent to the receiving museums with the hard copy. This will act as a safeguard against the accidental loss and the long-term degeneration of paper records and photographs.
- H.1.5 Born digital data where suitable will be printed to hard copy for the receiving museum but if the format is such that it needs maintaining in digital form a copy will be sent to the receiving museum by CD. Back-up copies will be stored on the OA digital network and or posted to the ADS in accordance with AAF & ADS guidelines. In most cases a digital copy of the report will be included in the OASIS project library hosted by ADS.
- H.1.6 Prior to deposition the Archive department will contact the museum regarding the size and content of the archive and discuss any retention and dispersal policies which may be applicable in line with local and SMA Guidelines ' Selection, Retention & Dispersal of Archaeological Collections' 1993
- H.1.7 The site archive will then be deposited with the relevant receiving museum or repository at the earliest opportunity unless further archaeological work on the site is expected. The documentary archive will include correspondence detailing landowner consent to deposit the artefacts and any copyright licences in accordance with the receiving museum guidelines.
- H.1.8 Oxford Archaeology will retain full copyright of any commissioned reports, tender documents or other project documents, under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 with all rights reserved; excepting that it will provide a licence to the client in all matters directly relating to the project as described in the Written Scheme of Investigation.
- H.1.9 OA will advise the client of any such materials supplied in the course of projects which are not OA's copyright.



H.1.10 OA undertakes to respect all requirements for confidentiality about the client's proposals provided that these are clearly stated. It is expected that such conditions shall not unreasonably impede the satisfactory performance of the services required. OA further undertake to keep confidential any conclusions about the likely implications of such proposals for the historic environment. It is expected that clients respect OA's general ethical obligations not to suppress significant archaeological data for an unreasonable period.

## H.2 Relevant industry standards and guidelines

- H.2.1 At the end of the project the site archive will be ordered, catalogued, labelled and conserved and stored according to the following national guidelines:
- H.2.2 The 2007 AAF guide Archaeological Archives A Guide to best practice in creation, compilation, transfer and curation. Brown D.
- H.2.3 The IFA Standard & Guidance for the creation, compilation, transfer and deposition of archaeological archives
- H.2.4 The UKIC's Guidelines for the preparation of excavation archives for long-term storage
- H.2.5 The MGC's Standards in the museum care of archaeological collections
- H.2.6 Local museum guidelines such as Museum of London Guidelines: (http://www.museumoflondonarchaeology.org.uk/English/ArchiveResearch/DeposResource) will be adopted where appropriate to the archive collecting area.
- H.2.7 The site archive will be prepared to at least the minimum acceptable standard defined in Management of Archaeological Projects 2, English Heritage 1991.

## H.3 Relevant OA manual and other supporting documentation

H.3.1 The OA Archives Policy.

#### APPENDIX I. HEALTH AND SAFETY

#### I.1 Summary of Standard Methodology

- I.1.1 All work will be undertaken in accordance with the OA Health and Safety Policy (Revision 13, August 2009), the OA Site Safety Procedures Manual, a site-specific Risk Assessment and, if required, Safety Plan or Method Statement. Copies of the site-specific documents will be submitted to the client or their representative for approvals prior to mobilisation, and all relevant H and S documentation will be available on site at all times. The Health and Safety documentation will be read in conjunction with the project WSI.
- 1.1.2 Where a site is covered by the The Construction (Design and Management) Regulations (2007), all work will be carried out in accordance with the Principal Contractor's Construction Phase Plan.
- I.1.3 All work will be carried out according to the requirements of all relevant legislation and guidance, including, but not exclusively.
  - The Health and Safety at Work Act (1974),
  - Management of Health and Safety at Work Regulations (1999).
  - Manual Handling Operations Regulations 1992 (as amended in 2002),
  - The Construction (Design and Management) Regulations (2007), and



• The Reporting of Injuries, Diseases and Dangerous Occurrences Regulations (1995).

WALLINGFORD 3 THAMES STREET. WASTHA!

Box 1 FILE \$2

B: PRIMARY CONTEST RECORD.

## OXFORD ARCHAEOLOGY, JANUS HOUSE, OSNEY MEAD, OXFORD, OX2 OES

## PDF/A SCAN

FILMING INSTRUCTIONS

Submitter OASouth No. of copies: 2

Headings

Site information

Line 1: [OASouth] County[Oxon] Parish: [Wallingford]

Site[3 Thames Street ] Site code[WA3THA 11]

Line 2: Excavators name[D Score]

Line 3:

Classification of material

Tick if

		present
Index to archive		
Introduction	(	
A:Final Report		
A:Publication Report		
B:Site Data - Text: Diary/Daybook/Fieldnotes		
B: Site Data - Text: General Summaries		
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Context Records		
B: Site Data - Text: Synthesised Context Records		
B: Site Data - Text: Survey Reports		
B: Site Data – Text: Catalogue of Drawings	·	
B: Site Data - Text: Primary Drawings		
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Drawings		
C: Finds Data – Text: Primary Finds Data		
C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data		
C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports		
C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List		
D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/Xrays		
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records		
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Record	ls	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports		
F: Documentary		
F: Press and Publicity		
G: Correspondence	. '	
H: Miscellaneous		-

Archaeological Unit	WATCHING BRIEF RECORD			
SITE CODE WAZYNA!	SITE NAME 3 THANES ST. , U	ENAME 3 THAMES ST., WALLINGTORS		
NGR	County	Start Time	8.00 00	
	OXON	Finish Time	·	
Milage	Previous Visit	Visit By  M. MOA	2/ 41/	
Type of construction work	FOUNDATION EXC.		KGAN	
Contacts made				
Archaeology present?				-
Yes: 🗸				
No:				
Undated:				
Other:				
COMMENTS		_		
TOLD 3x tos	In 0551, 450mm	Lowering of	hadons in Between	EEN,
TOLD 3x TQS			hadung in Between	LEN,
MO SERVICES AS	ALREADY PRESENT.		hadung in Betw.	LEN,
MO SERVICES AS	ALREADY PRESENT.		hadung IN-BETW	LEN,
MO SERVICES AS  TO 1 Exc - 1	ALREADY PRESENT.		hadung in Betw.	LEN,
MO SERVICES AS  TO EXC - 1	ALREADY PRESENT.		hadens in getwo	EEN,
MO SERVICES AS  TO EXC - 1	ALREADY PRESENT.		hadens in Between	EEN,
TOLS 3x Tas  NO SERVICES AS  Tai Exc - 1	ALREADY PRESENT.		hadens IN BETW.	LEN,
MO SERVICES AS  101 E×C- 1	ALREADY PRESENT.		hadens in Betwi	LEN
MO SERVICES AS	ALREADY PRESENT.		hadens IN-BETW	EEN,
MO SERVICES AS  TO 1 Exc - 1	ALREADY PRESENT.		hadens IN-BETW	LEN,
MO SERVICES AS	ALREADY PRESENT.		hadens IN-BETW	LEN,

Oxford Archaeological Unit	WATCH	HING BRIEF REC	ORD	
SITE CODE LASTUAL	SITE NAME 3	THAMES ST.,	LALLINGPORD	DATE 22/7/11
NGR	County		Start Time	8.00
:	NOXO		Finish Time	16-00'
Milage	Previous Visit 21/7/11		Visit By	lbar.
Type of construction work	FOUNDATION I	EXC.		
Contacts made	-			
Archaeology present?				
Yes:   \[  \]				
No:				
Undated:				
Other:				
COMMENTS				
TR2 COMPLETE	s - poss	2x EARU MES	PITS +	3 x LATE-MES/
EARLY POST - A	GO PITS.			
BULLDING INSPE	LTOR ASHES	FOR TRS 7	to be de	EPER ON
		WER BONEHOLE		
LEVELS FOU	NO MASE	Grans To	3- BELOW	(URRENT
GROUND LEVEL				•
-orus to	PROCEES ON	mondar To	OPEN RE	St of 122.
Records?	-			

.

Oxford Archaeological Unit	WATCHING BRIEF REC	ORD	
SITE CODEL/A3MAIL	SITE NAME 3 THAMES STREET,	- ALLING FOR B	DATE 25/7/11
NGR	County	Start Time	8.00
	OKON	Finish Time	9-00
Milage	Previous Visit 22/7/11	Visit By	ZAN .
Type of construction work	FOUNDATION EXL.		
Contacts made			
Archaeology present?			
Yes:			
No:			
Undated:			
Other:			
COMMENTS		•	
NO LORK TOD.	AY. ENGINEER REDESIGNING REGARDING COST. WO	PLANS +	1~ MELTALLI
with CHENT	RELANDING COST. WO	Rh 10 RE	IVAE THUS-FRI.
N.B THURS 2	8/7/11 ON SITE, TOLD WOR	y POSTPONED	to mon VIVII
	•		•
	·		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	·		
Records?			

Oxford Archaeology	WATCHING BRIEF REC	ORD	
SITE CODE WAZ THAN	SITE NAME 3, Thanco St, Wa	DATE 2/8/0)	
NGR	County	Start Time Finish Time	08.00
Milage	Previous Visit  1/8/15	Visit By	nyens
Type of construction work	Hand excavation &	total P.	4.
Contacts made			
Archaeology present?		· _	
Yes: V N	redieval layers +	P.4.	
No:			
Undated:			
Other:			
COMMENTS			
·	underfusted ground		,
P.4 1:8m x	0.8m by 2.2m a	reep.	
Post-med	and 3x medical	sol	horizone
Problemally	(12 - 13th nebbish	pot.	
Natoral 2.12 below	from pur starred	gravel ,	exposed a
		<u> </u>	
<u> </u>			
Records?	in sect Photos		

Oxford Archaeology	WATCHING BRIEF RECORD						
SITE CODE WASTHA	SITE NAME 3. Thanks It is	Dallingford	DATE //3/11				
NGR	County (3×0n)	Start Time	08.00				
		Finish Time	16.00				
Milage	Previous Visit	Visit By					
Type of construction work	Excavation of toon	daton.					
Contacts made							
Archaeology present?	/						
Yes:	Medieval, soil	/ ndob or h	> <del>*</del>				
No:							
Undated:							
Other:							
COMMENTS							
On site to	o monitor Diderin	1 2	men				
Frenches a	a examta of	a 0.7.	5m square				
50.6m	dup fordista	pad					
	r 5	- "	0				
No new	deposits exposed	dorny +	rench				
walning			•				
Fand at an	pad excavated	sof so	de not				
Modern	nard sortace	nes /1-	9-th				
garden s	ol.						
No ea	Modern gard sorface over 19th garden sol. No earlier deposts exposed.						
	,						
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Pacards? Di	cost cut shorter	· <u></u>					

٠.,



## **CONTEXT CHECKLIST**

SITE CODE LAHMA?

SITENAME 3 THAMES STREET, WALLINGFORD

Context number	Туре	Excavated within	Relationships	Drav	wn	Matrix :	Comments	Recorder initials
·		segments		Section	Plan	N		
(MATA) 1	STRUC.			1	1		FLOGA	MA
2	LAYER						GROUND LEVELLING LANGE	
3	Struc.						19°C CULVERT	
4	FILL	F0[3] -	<b>-9</b>				CULVERT FILL	
5	LAYER						BURIED TORSOIL	
6	LAYER			1	1		SOIL LAYER	
7	LATER		,				SOIL LAYER	
્યુ	CUT	FB(9) —	>	i		,	FORWATION ANT. 11T	
9	FILL	FO[8] -	<b>→</b>				' by " FILL	
jo	STRUC.				-		BOUNDARY WALL	<u>.</u>
11	STRUC.			1	<b>↓</b>		LEAN-TO WALLS	
12	LAYER			2	1			
13	MUT		FB (4-16)		1		PIT	
14	FYLL	FO [13] -	* ***			`	" FILL.	
15	FILL		FO [13]					
16	FILL		<u> </u>				<u> </u>	
17	CUT		FB (8-19)				Pit	
13	FILL		FO 17				" FILL	
19	FILL	<u>-</u>	1				+	
20	CUT	•	FB (21-22)				PIT	
21	FILL		FO [20]				" FILL	
27	FILL		<b>↓</b>				<b>t</b>	
23	LAKER						POSS. PIT FILL	
24	LAYER			4	J		MOS GROUND	4
25	FILL		Fo [3]	+	+		PIT FILL	1
26	LAYER			- t	1		BURIED SOIL? (IN FILE)	
27	Sugar		Above 200	2	1		Paring glabs	M
28	Lago		Bela 27	3	1		Crarden Soil	ns
29	15:11		Fo 30	4	1		Fill of pine trench	m
	CA		Fb 29	4	1		Pipe trench	n
3(	Lase		Abose 32		)		Coarden sail	m
32	Lagr		" 33	""	Ī		Earlier soil Horizon	m



## **CONTEXT CHECKLIST**

SITE CODE

SITE NAME

Context	Туре	Excavated	Relationships	Drav	A/D	Matrix	Comments	Recorde
number	l ighe	within	neiauonsinps	Section	Plan	IVIALITY	Comments	initials
	<b>4-</b> 1	segments			<del></del>	<u> </u>	- 11 01	<u> </u>
33	Fil)		Fo 34	4	}		Fo roshish P.4	
34	Cst		Fb 33	4	)		Fo Ashish P.4 Robbish P.4 Oceapalan layor  Natoral grandi	
35	hayer		03/25/34	4	t		Oceopala lazer	
36	Lays		Below 35	4	)		4 n	
37	Layer		11 3b	4	1		Notoral grande	
		····						
				<u> </u>				1.
<u> </u>								
						<del>                                     </del>		$\dagger$
<u> </u>								
								<u> </u>
	-			<del> </del>	<u> </u>			<u> </u>
				ļ				-
								ļ
						<u></u>		<u> </u>
	·							
								·
		<u>-</u> -						
			•					
	-							
						<u> </u>		
								<del>                                     </del>
								<del> </del>
				+		-		-
								<del> </del>
					<u> </u>	<u> </u>		ļ
	l				1			

oxfordarchaeology	CONTEXT RECORD	Context No.
SITE WASTHALL	ADDITIONAL SHEETS:	TYPE STAUC
Trench 1 + 2	Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure	Check Lists:
Site sub-div	Overlain by:	DEPOSIT: 1. compaction
Structure No.	Abutted by:	colour     composition
Plan No.	Cut by:	4. inclusion 5. thickness 6. extent
	Filled by:	7. comments 8. metrod & conditions
Section No.	Same as:	CUT
	Part of:	shape in plan     bdse/sides/top profile     dmension and depth
Co-Ordinates	Consists of:	5. truncation
	Overlies: $(2) + (3)$	6/fill nos / 7 other comments
Level DICA-5-6	Butts:	MASONRY: 1. materials
Slide No.	Cuts:	size of bricks etc     finish of stones
Neg No.	Fill of:	4. coursing/bond 5. form 6. faces 7. bond
Matrix location	Relationships uncertain	dimensions as found     other comments
Description (See check lists):	1 th. UNFLOGGED RED BRICKS	
( - 120×220×50	+ TILES HELLES	
	this context is [1]	
	3- 4. NO BOND, LAYED	<b>6</b>
NEXT + DEACH OTHER	MOSTLY LONGUAYS NOS BUT SOME PATTERMIN	6 + VARIATION
TO ALLOW FOR		
8 ( 2.5)		
Interpretation/Discussion:	14/emby 20th C FLOGA.	***************************************
(7	Tomy a C Fable.	
Finds (tick): None [ Metal [ ] CBM [ ]	Pot [ ] Bone [ ] Flint [ ] Stone [ ] Burnt stone Wood [ ] Leather [ ]	e[] Glass[]
△ Small Finds		Recorder MM
Samples		Date 21/7/11
Building Materia	ıls	Initials

oxfordarchaeology	CONTEXT RECORD	Context No.
SITE LA ; THAI!	ADDITIONAL SHEETS:	TYPE LAYER
Trench 1+2	Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure	Check Lists:
Site sub-div	Overlain by:	DEPOSIT: 1. compaction
Structure No.	Abutted by:	2. colour 3. composition
Plan No.	Cut by:	4. inclusion 5. thickness 6. extent
7	Filled by:	7. comments 8. method & conditions
Section No.	Same as:	CUT: /
	Part of:	spape in pan     space/sides/top profile     dinpension and depth
Co-Ordinates	Consists of:	4. sketch 5. truncation 6. fill nos
	Overlies: (5)	6. fill nos 7. other/comments
Level DIGI- 5-6	Butts:	MASONRY: 1. materials
Slide No.	Cuts:	size of pricks etc     finish of stones
Neg No.	Fill of:	4. doursing/bond 5. form 6. faces
Matrix location	Relationships uncertain	7/ bond 6. dimensions as found 9. other comments
Description (See check lists):	STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX	
	1-LOUSE 2.73 COMBINATION  SILTY  (4)	<b>B B</b>
OF CRUSHES M	ORTAR + SAND. WITH BOOKEN	
CBM OVERLAIN	BY ROUNDES CRAVEL + SANS	<u>'`</u>
	[13]	
4, 15% BROWEN	CBM.	<u> </u>
6. L: 2.50m+		
7-	x w; 0-3~	
τ.		
	CAST	
Interpretation/Discussion:	AVER LAID DOWN TO LEVEL GROWN TO A	Low
(ONSTRUCTION	OF OUT-MOUSE/LEAN-10.	
		·
	Pot[] Bone[] Flint[] Stone[] Burnt stone Wood[] Leather[]	e[] Glass[]
△ Small Finds		Recorder ~~
Samples		Date 21/7/11
Building Materia	ls :	Initials ~~

.

oxfordarchaeology	CONTEXT RECORD	Context No.		
SITE LA 3THAIL	ADDITIONAL SHEETS:	TYPE STRUC.		
Trench	Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure	Check Lists:		
Site sub-div		DEPOSIT:		
Structure No.		1. objipaction 2. colour 3. coloposition 4. inclusion		
Plan No.	Cut by:   I €	4. inclusion 5. thickness 6. extent		
l		7. comments 3. method & conditions		
Section No.	Same as:	CUT/		
	Part of:	1. shape in plan 2. base/sides.op profile 3. dimension and depth		
Co-Ordinates	Consists of:	sketch truncation		
		5. fill nos 7. other comments		
Lovel DK-1- 5-6		MASONRY: 1. materials		
Slide No.	Cuts:	2. size of bricks etc 3. finish of stones		
Neg No.	Fill of:	4. coursing/bond 5. form 6. faces 7. bond		
Matrix location	Polationships upcortain	8. dimensions as found 9. other comments		
Description (See check lists):	- REA UNFROGUES BRICKS WITH STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX			
71LE BASE 2. 220 × 90 ×	70			
4: SIBES COMPRISED 2 COVESES OF BRICLS LASO				
ONSIDE WITH				
RIGHT ANGLE	ON TOP FOR ROOF. THE FOR BASE, RUNNIA	NG E-W +		
TURONING N-S	8. L: 0.3m+ x L: 0.32mx H: 0.	28~		
S PROFILE 1277	7, BONSED BY LIME MORTAR			
<i></i>	נין שוייזכען אָד. נויינב יישע נידיק			
Interpretation/Discussion: ( ${oldsymbol{\mathcal{J}}}$	MIGHT C CULVERT. NO OBVIOUS CONSTRUCTION CO	<b>и</b> т		
		•		
	Pot[] Bone[] Flint[] Stone[] Burnt stone Wood[] Leather[]	[] Glass[]		
△ Small Finds		Recorder		
Samples		Date 21/7/11		
Building Materia	ls:	Initials ,,		

oxfordarchaeology	CONTEXT RECORD	Context No.
SITE WA 3 THAIL	ADDITIONAL SHEETS:	TYPE FILL
Trench	Context Type: Deposit / Gut / Structure	. Check Lists:
Site sub-div	Overlain by:	DEPOSIT:
Structure No.	Abutted by:	compaction     colour     composition
Plan No.	Cut by:	4. inclusion 5. thickness
	Filled by:	6. extent 7. comments 8. method & conditions
Section No.	Same as:	CUT:
<u>A</u>	Part of:	shape in plan     base/sides/top profile     dimension and depth
Co-Ordinates	Consists of:	4: sketch 5: truncation
	Overlies:	6. fill nos 7. other comments
tover DIGI-5-6	.Butts:	MASONRY:
Slide No.	Cuts:	size of pricks etc     strings of stones
Neg No.	Fill of: 3	4./coursing/bond 5. form 6. faces
Matrix location	Relationships uncertain	7. bond   8. dimensions as found   9. other comments
Description (See check lists):	STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX	
	1. SOFT 2. BLACK STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX	TÔ -
3. SANDY SILT	4, - 5, 0 lm 6. L: 0.3mt this context is (4)	
x w: 0.16-	this context is (4)	
		<u>:</u>
		•
Interpretation/Discussion:	LLTING DEPOSIT WHMIN BRAIN (3)	
,		
		-
Finds (tick): None [ Metal [ ] CBM [ ]	Pot[] Bone[] Flint[] Stone[] Burnt stone Wood[] Leather[]	e[] Glass[]
△ Small Fin/ds		Recorder mm
Samples		Date 2/7/11
Building Materia	ls	Initials ,,,

oxfordarchaeology	CONTEXT RECORD	Context No.
SITE WASTNALL	ADDITIONAL SHEETS:	TYPE LAMER
Trench / / 2	Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure	Check Lists:
Site sub-div	Overlain by: (3)	DEPOSIT: 1. compaction
Structure No.	Abutted by:	colour     composition
Plan No.	Cut by:	Inclusion     thickness     extent
	Filled by:	7. comments 8. method & conditions
Section No.	Same as:	CUT:
l	Part of:	shape in plan     base/sides/top profile     dimension and pepth
Co-Ordinates	Consists of:	4. sketch 5. truncation
	Overlies:	6. fill nos 7. other comments
Lovel 016-5-6	Butts:	MASONRY: 1. materials
Slide No.	Cuts:	2. size of bricks etc
Neg No.	Fill of:	4. covirsing/bond 5. form 6. faces 7. brond
Matrix location	Relationships uncertain.	8. dimensions as found 9. other comments
GRAVEL (1. 20)	this context is (5)  (10-5x5m-); (10% From  ONE (c. 500x 400 - 200x 300m)  6. 6. L: 2.50m+ x h; 0.3m+	
Interpretation/Discussion:	-	
1/2	BURIED TOPSOIL	
Finds (tick): None [ Metal [ ] CBM [ ]		e[] Glass[]
△ Small Finds		Recorder mm
Samples		Date 21/7/11
Byllding Materia	als	Initials ,,,,

		<del></del>
oxfordarchaeology	CONTEXT RECORD	Context No.
SITE WA 3THA 11	ADDITIONAL SHEETS:	TYPE LAKER
Trench	Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure	Check Lists:
Site sub-div	Overlain by:	DEPOSIT: 1. compaction
Structure No.	Abutted by:	2. colour 3. composition
Plan No.	Cut by:	4. inclusion 5. thickness
	Filled by:	6. extent 7. comments 8. method & conditions
Section No.	Same as:	CUT: /
	Part of:	Shape in plan     Shase/sides/top profile     Himension and depth
Co-Ordinates	Consists of:	dimension and depth     sketch     truncation
	Overlies: (9) (7)	6. fil nos 7. other comments
LOVOL 1161 - 5-6	Butts:	MASONRY:
Slide No.	Cuts:	materials     size of bricks etc
Neg No.	Fill of:	3. finish of stones 4. coursing/bond 5. form 6. faces
Matrix location	Relationships uncertain	7. bond 8. dimensions as found
Description (See check lists):	1. SOFT 2. SALL BROWN STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX	9. other comments
3. SAMOY SIL (c. lox10) 6. 250-+ 7 8. Sh	# (10%, STONES  this context is 6  * Wi 03n +  40VEL, OVERCAST	
Interpretation/Discussion:	BURIED SOIL LAYER / SUB-SOIL?	
		******
Finds (tick): None [ Metal [ ] CBM [ ]		e[] Glass[]
△ Small Finds		Recorder MA
Samples		Date 21/7/11
Building Materia	ale '	Initials My
I L Landing watering	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	1 7.74

•:

oxfordarchaeology	CONTEXT RECORD	Context No.
SITE LA3 THA 11	ADDITIONAL SHEETS:	TYPE LANER
Trench	Context Type: Deposit / <del>Cut / Structur</del> e	Check Lists:
Site sub-div	Overlain by:	DEPOSIT: 1. compaction
Structure No.	Abutted by:	colour     composition
Plan No.	Cut by:	4. inclusion 5. thickness 6. extent
	Filled by:	7. comments 8. method & conditions
Section No.	Same as:	CUT:
	Part of:	1 shape in plan 2 sase/sides/top profile 3. dynension and depth
Co-Ordinates	Consists of:	4, SKRICH 5, truncation
		6 fill nys 7 other comments
LOVA DIG1 - 5-6	Butts:	MASONRY: 1. majerials
Slide No.	Cuts:	size of pricks etc     sinish of stones     coursing bond
Neg No.	Fill of:	5. form 6. faces
Matrix location	Relationships uncertain	8. dimensions as found 9. other comments
Description (See check lists):	1, FRIABLE 2, LIGHT STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX	·
	3. SANAY SILY this context is 7	<b>)</b> ]
4, 15% STONES	(1.20 x 20 -10x 10x -)	
5. 0.32m+	6. L, 2:5m+x W10.3n+	
	SHOVEL OVERCAST	
	JUNEL JOHN STORY	• •
Interpretation/Discussion:	NENOVA BURIED LAVER, DUE TO AMOU	INT OF PITS
	OULD BE TO PFILL OF PIT.	
	0023 755 10 7 755	
		,
Finds (tick): None [a Metal [ ] CBM [ ]	Pot [ ] Bone [ ] Flint [ ] Stone [ ] Burnt stone Wood [ ] Leather [ ]	e[] Glass[]
△ Small Finds		Recorder nn,
Samples		Date 21/7/11
Building Materia	ls	Initials

•		
oxfordarchaeology	CONTEXT RECORD	Context No.
SITE WASTHALL	ADDITIONAL SHEETS:	TYPE (UT
Trench	Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure	Check Lists:
Site sub-div	Overlain by:	DEPOSIT:
Structure No.	Abutted by:	1 compaction 2 colour 3 colonosition 4 inclusion
Plan No.	Cut by:	4. inclusion 5. thiokness 6. extent
	Filled by:	7 comments 5. method & conditions
Section No.	Same as:	COT: 2
	Part of:	1. shape in plan 2. base/sides/op profile 3. dimension and depth
Co-Ordinates	Consists of:	4. sketch 5. truncation
· · · · · ·	Overlies:	6. fill rips 7. other comments
Level 0141- 5-6	Butts:	MASONRY: 1. materiuls
Slide No.	Cuts:	size of pricks etc     finish of stones
Neg No.	Fill of:	4. doursing/bond 5. form 6. faces 7 bond
Matrix location	Relationships uncertain	8. dimensions as found 9. other comments
BASE NOT W: 0.74m 5,- 6. 9	LOCATES 3. $L: 0.3n + x$ this context is $\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$	
Interpretation/Discussion:	FOUNDATION CUT FOR (10), AFTER	EXC TRL
CUT [8] NO	T LOCATES INTERCUTTING MED PITS	FOUND
THEREFORE	MORE LIKELY BT IS AR PIT	NOT
FOUNDATION	CUT AS PREVIOUSLY THOUGHT	
	Pot[] Bone[] Flint[] Stone[] Burnt sto ] Wood[] Leather[]	ne[] Glass[]
△ Small Fines		Recorder MA
Samples		Date 21/7/1(
Building Mater	ials	Initials

oxfordarchaeology	CONTEXT RECORD	Context No.
SITE LA 3 THA!	ADDITIONAL SHEETS:	TYPE FILL
Trench	Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure	Check Lists:
Site sub-div		DEPOSIT:
Structure No.	Abutted by:	I. compaction 2. colour 3. composition
Plan No.	Cut by: Koi:	1. inclusion 5. thickness 6. extent
	Filled by:	7. comments 3. method & conditions
Section No.		CUT:
		shape in plan 2. kase/sides/top profile 3. dynension and depth
Co-Ordinates	Consists of:	I. sketch 5. truncation 6. fill nes
	Overlies: (7)	. other comments
Lovet 0141 - 5-6	Sales :	MASONRY: I. materials
Slide No.		2. size of blicks etc 3. thish of atones 4. coursing/bond
Neg No.	Fill of:	form 6 faces bond dimensions as found
Matrix location  Description (See check lists):		e differsions as found 9. other comments
5. 46 SEE 3. SHOLEL, DV	EALAST	
Interpretation/Discussion:	PIT	
<i>B</i>	FILL OF FORMATION COLI [8],	PROB NAT.
PEPOSITION		
Finds (tick): None [ Metal [ ] CBM [ ]	Pot[] Bone[] Flint[] Stone[] Burnt stone Wood[] Leather[]	[] Glass[]
△ Small Finds		Recorder 144
Samples		Date 21/7/11
Building Materia	 .ls	Initials Ma

oxfordarchaeology	CONTEXT RECORD	ontext No.	
SITELA3 TUAII	ADDITIONAL SHEETS:	YPE WALL	
Trench 1+2	Context Type: Deposit / Cut. / Structure Cr	neck Lists:	
Site sub-div	Overlain by.	POSIT:	
Structure No.	Abutted by:	colour composition	
Plan No.	Cut by:	nclusion hickness extent	
	Filled by:	comments method & conditions	
Section No.		UT <b>\</b>	
	Part of:	shipe in plan pase/sides/top profile Imension and depth	
Co-Ordinates	Consists of:	ketch / runcation	
		ill nos other comments	
LOVAL DIL 1- 5-6		ASONRY:	
Slide No.	Cuts: <b>9</b>	size of bricks etc finish of stones	
Neg No.	Fill of:	coursing/bond form 6. faces bond	
Matrix location	Relationship uncertain (5)	dimensions as found other comments	
Description (See check lists):	22. OR ANGE + RED BRICHS STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX		
(200 × 60 × 100), FLINT NOWLES (120×100-)  BONDED WITH A LOT OF LIME  [7]  this context is 110			
MORTAR + LAI	O. V. IRAEGULAR		
L. c. Sm+	x W.c. 0.5 - x 4, 3.5 m +	,	
		•	
Interpretation/Discussion:	DUNDARY WALL POST-MED, FOUNDATION CO	UT NOT	
LOCATES SO	MOST LIKELT FLUSH LITH WALL.	<u> </u>	
		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Finds (tick): None [ Metal [ ] CBM [ ]	. ,	] Glass[]	
△ Small Finds		Recorder my	
Samples		Date 21/7/11	
Building Materia	ls	Initials Am	

oxfordarchaeology	CONTEXT RECORD	Context No.
SITE WA3 THA II	ADDITIONAL SHEETS:	TYPE VALL
Trench 1 + 2	Context Type: Deposit / Gut / Structure	Check Lists:
Site sub-div	Overlain by:	DEPOSIT:
Structure No.	Abutted by:	Acompaction 2. colour 3. composition
Plan No.	Cut by:	4. ibclusion 5. thickness 6. extent
1	Filled by:	7. comments 8. method & conditions
Section No.	Same as:	сит
1	Part of:	shape in plan     base/sides/top profile     dimension and depth
Co-Ordinates	Consists of:	4. sketch 5 truncation
	Overlies:	f. fill nos f. other comments
Level 1/4- 5-6	Butts:	MASONRY: 1. materials
Slide No.	Cuts:	size of bricks etc     finish of stones
Neg No.	Fill of:	4. coursing/bond 5. form 6. faces 7. bond
Matrix location	Relationships uncertain	dimensions as found     other comments
	this context is	
ALSO METHORIA	man wall Toy HEIGHT EXTENSES	c. 600nm
WHERE LEAN-	TO ROOF CONNECTS, AT SAME TIME OF	E E.N+S
	FLOOR (1)	
·		
Interpretation/Discussion:	WALLS OF LEAN-TO BUILDING, 191/200	٠.
BLOULS OFF	DRAIN (3)	
		, ,
	[ ] Pot [ ] Bone [ ] Flint [ ] Stone [ ] Burnt stone [ ] Wood [ ] Leather [ ]	e[] Glass[]
Small Finds		Recorder pm
Samples /		Date 21/7/11
Building Materi	als	Initials Am

oxfordarchaeology	CONTEXT RECORD	Context No.
SITE WASTUALL	ADDITIONAL SHEETS:	TYPE LAKER /FILL?
Trench 2	Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure	Check Lists:
Site sub-div	Overlain by: (6) (5) (5)	DEPOSIT: 1. compaction
Structure No.	Abutted by: 13 10	colour     composition
Plan No.	Cut by:	44. inclusion 5. thickness 6. extent
	Filled by:	7. comments 8. method & conditions
Section No.	Same as:	CUT:
2	Part of:	1 shape in plan 2 hase/sides/top profile 3 dhaension and depth
Co-Ordinates	Consists of:	4. sketch 5. trunyation 6. fill nos
	Overlies: (26)	6. till nos 7. other comments
LOVOL- DICAL - 9-10	Butts:	MASOMRY: 1. materials
Slide No.	Cuts:	2. size of bricks etc 3. finish of stones 4. coursing/bond
Neg No.	Fill of:	4. coprsing/bond 5. form 6. fases 7. bond
Matrix location	Relationships uncertain	dimensions as found     other comments
Description (See check lists):	1. SOFT 2. NARL CAEEN STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX	
( ) ( )		
GREY 3. SI	LTY SAND 4, KIDY. SUB-ANG this context is (1)	
STONES (6,5x5		
6. Li o. 4m +	x 60.600+	<i>i</i>
	VEL; CLEAR + DRY	
0. 5.0		<del></del>
Interpretation/Discussion:	DBABLE FILL OF EARLIER PIT. LARGE	QUANTITY OF
f:		QUANTITY OF
Interpretation/Discussion:		QUANTITY OF
f:		QUANTITY OF
Finds (tick): None [	RED.	
Finds (tick): None [	Pot[] Bone[/ Flint[] Stone[] Burnt stone	
Finds (tick): None [ Metal [ ] CBM [ ]	Pot[] Bone[/ Flint[] Stone[] Burnt stone	e[] Glass[]

oxfordarchaeology	CONTEXT RECORD	Context No.
SITE LA3 THA!!	ADDITIONAL SHEETS:	TYPE PAT
Trench 2	Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure	Check Lists:
Site sub-div	Overlain by:	DEPOSIT: :
Structure No.	Abutted by:	3. colour 3. composition 4. inclusion
Plan No.	Cut by:	4. inclusion 5. thiokness 6. oxtent
	Filled by: $(4-16)$ $(35)$	7 comments 8 method & conditions
Section No.	Same as:	CUT:
2	Part of:	shape in plan     base/sides/top profile     dimension and depth
Co-Ordinates	Consists of:	4. sketch 5. truncation
	Overlies:	6. fill nos 7. other comments
Lovel D141 - 9-10	Butts:	MASONRY:
Slide No.	Cuts: (2) (26)	2. size of bricks etc 3. firmsh of stones 4. codesing/bond
Neg No.	Fill of:	4. confising/bond 5. form 6. faces bond
Matrix location	Relationships uncertain	8. dimensions as found 9. other comments
Description (See check lists):	1. V. LITTLE LEVEALED IN STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX	
PLAN, LIKELT	ROUND/SUB-ROUND	
	this context is 13	
	X W: 0.6n+ x 0:0.54n+	
	(14-16) 7-	
Interpretation/Discussion:	UBILY PIT, LATE LEGIE	TVAL/P-M.
,		
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Finds (tick): None [ Metal [ ] CBM [ ]	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	e[] Glass[]
△ Small Finds		Recorder
Samples		Date 22/7/11
Building Materia	als	Initials

oxfordarchaeology	CONTEXT RECORD	Context No.
SITE LAZTUALI	ADDITIONAL SHEETS:	TYPE FILL
Trench 2		Check Lists:
Site sub-div		DEPOSIT:
Structure No.	Abuttod by	I. compaction 2. colour 3. composition
Plan No.	Cut by:	1. inclusion 5. thickness
	Filled by:	5. extent 7. comments 3. method & conditions
Section No.	Same as:	CUT: /
2	Part of:	Shape in plan L base/sides/top profile
Co-Ordinates	Consists of	3. dimension and depth 1. sketch 5. truncation
		6. fill hos 7. other comments
Lovot DIG1 - 9-10	Ruffe	MASOVRY:
Slide No.	Cuts:	i, materials 2. size of bricks etc 3. figish of atones
Neg No.	Fill of:	4. coursing/bond 5. form 6. Vaces 7. bond
Matrix location	Relationships uncertain	dimensions as found other comments
Description (See check lists):	· JOFT L. MID- GREY/BROWN	
3. SANOY SILT	4. <10% SUB-ANGULAR (15)	
	this context is (4)	
	W: 0.6n+ 7	
8. SHOWEL; OVE		
<u> </u>		• • • •
Interpretation/Discussion:	S = 1 (127)	5 . 5 . 6
L.C	INER FILL OF PIT 131. COMBINATION OF	NEUBERATE +
NATURAL DEPO	sitlon.	
	] Pot [ Bone [ ] Flint [ ] Stone [ ] Burnt stone Wood [ ] Leather [ ] SHELL [ ]	[] Glass[]
△ Small Finds		Recorder MM
Samples		Date 22/3/11
Building Materia	ıls	Initials MM

oxfordarchaeology	CONTEXT RECORD	Context No.
SITE WASTUALL	ADDITIONAL SHEETS:	TYPEFILL
Trench 2		Check Lists:
Site sub-div		DEPOSIT:
Structure No.	Abutted by:	2. colour 3. composition
Plan No.	Cut by: [77]	4. inclusion 5. thickness 6. extent
	Filled by:	7. comments 3. method & conditions
Section No.		сүт:
2	Part of:	I. shape in plan 2. base/sides/op profile 3. dimension and depth
Co-Ordinates	Consists of:	1. sketch 5. truncation
		6. fill nos 7. other comments
L-evel 016-1- 9-10	Butts:	MASONRY:
Slide No.	Cuts:	2. size of bricks etc 3 finish of stones
Neg No.	Fill of: 17	coursing/bond \ 5. form 6. faces 7. bond
Matrix location	Relationships uncertain	B. dimensions as found D. other comments
Description (See check lists):	1. SOFT 2. DARK BROWN/BLACK STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	ILT 4. <10% SUS-ANLUM	
	this contact is 1.77 C	$\overline{I}$
Stanks (1. 15×10 - 5×5~)		
5.0.2m 6	S. L. 1.4m+ x W: 0.6m+	
7- 8.5	HOVEL; OVERCAST	
Interpretation/Discussion:	ECONDARY FILL PIT [3]. PROS NATURAL SILT	INL
		•
	Pot [ ] Bone [ ] Flint [ ] Stone [ ] Burnt stone Wood [ ] Leather [ ]	[ ] Glass [ ]
Small Finds		Recorder MM
Samples		Date 22/7/11
uilding Materia	ils	Initials

oxfordarchaeology	CONTEXT RECORD	Context No.	
SITE LA3THAIL	ADDITIONAL SHEETS:	TYPE FILL	
Trench 2	Context Type: Deposit /- Gut / Structure	Check Lists:	
Site sub-div	Overlain by: (5) (18) + (19)	DEPOSIT: 1. compaction	
Structure No.	Abutted by:	2. colour 3. composition	
Plan No.	Cut by:	4. inclusion 5. thickness 6. extent	
	Filled by:	7. comments 8. method & conditions	
Section No.	Same as:	CUT:	
2	. Part of:	shape in plan     sase/sides/top/profile     dimension and depth	
Co-Ordinates	Consists of:	4. sketch 5. truncation	
	Overlies: (13), (12)	6. fill nos 7. other comments	
Lovet DIGI - 9-10	Butts:	MASONAY: 1. materials	
Slide No.	Cuts:	2. size of blicks etc 3. finish of stones 4. coursing/bond	
Neg No.	Fill of: [13]	4. coursing/bond 5. form 6. faces 7. bond	
Matrix location	Relationships uncertain	8 dimensions as found 9 other comments	
Description (See check lists):	1. SOFY 2. BARK BROWN STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX		
3 SANAT SUF	(7)		
	4. <10% 303- ANGULAR this context is (16)	7	
Stones (- 20	1   /(v)		
5. 0.3&n E	5. W: 0.6m + x L: 1.38m +		
7,- S. SHOVEL; OVER CAST.			
Interpretation/Discussion: SECONDARY FILL OF [3]. MAY BE COMBINATION OF			
NATURAL + DE	ELIBERATE DEPOSITION OR DEPOSIT OF SM	16LE EVENT	
TO SEAL PIT + LEVEL GROUND SAXON POT FOUND IN			
FILL (APPROX	FILL (APPROX.) (OULD BE REDEPOSITED FROM EARLIER		
DE POSIT	(1.6		
DETOUT			
Finds (tick): None [ ] Pot [ ] Bone [ ] Flint [ ] Stone [ ] Burnt stone [ ] Glass [ ] Metal [ ] CBM [ ] Wood [ ] Leather [ ]			
Small Finds		Recorder mm	
Samples		Date 12/7/11	
Building Materia		Initials	

oxfordarchaeology	CONTEXT RECORD	Context No.
SITE WASTUALI	ADDITIONAL SHEETS:	TYPE CUT
Trench 2	Context Type: <del>Depos</del> it / Cut / <del>Structur</del> e	Check Lists:
Site sub-div	Overlain by:	DEPOSIT: 1. compaction
Structure No.	Abutted by:	2. colour 3. composition 4. inclusion 5. thickness
Plan No.		b. extern \
<u>ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ</u>	Filled by: (i g-19)	7. comments 8. method & conditions
Section No.	Same as:	CUT:
	Part of:	shape in plan     base/sides/top profile     dimension and depth     sketch
Co-Ordinates	Consists of:	4. sketch 5. truncation 6. fill nos
	Overlies:	7. other comments
Lever 0161-11-14	Butts:	MASONRY:  1. materials
Slide No.	Cuts: $(14-16)$	materials     size of pricks etc.     finish of stones     coursing/bond
Neg No.	Fill of:	7 bond
Matrix location	Relationships uncertain	8 dimensions às found 9. other comments
Description (See check lists):	PROB CIRCULAR STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX	
2 04000	(8)	
2. STEEP SIDE	this context is 117	<u> </u>
3. L:0.85~+	x w: 0.65+ x D: 0.525+	
4-5, Br	[20] 6. (18-19) Land (18)	
7—		
Interpretation/Discussion:	RUBBISH PIT LATE MED / POST-MED	
	<del></del>	
Finds (tick): None [ Metal [ ] CBM [ ]	<pre>Pot[] Bone[] Flint[] Stone[] Burnt stone Wood[] Leather[]</pre>	[ ] Glass [ ]
△ Small Finds		Recorder MM
Samples		Date 22/7/11
Building Materia	ıls .	Initials

. ...

oxfordarchaeology	CONTEXT RECORD	Context No.
SITE LA 3 THA 11	ADDITIONAL SHEETS:	TYPE FILL
Trench 2	Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure	Check Lists:
Site sub-div	Overlain by: (21)	DEPOSIT: 1. compaction
Structure No.	Abutted by:	2. colour 3. composition
Plan No.	Cut by:	4. inclusion 5. thickness 6. extent
7	Filled by:	7. comments 8. method & conditions
Section No.	Same as:	CUT:
ļ	Part of:	Shape in plan     Shape i
Co-Ordinates	Consists of:	4. sketch 5. truncation
	Overlies: (14 – 16)	6. fill/nos 7. other comments
Level ()161- 11-14	Butts:	MASONNY: 1. materials
Slide No.	Cuts:	size of pricks etc     finist of stones
Neg No.	Fill of: 17	4. coursingbond 5. form 6. faces 7. bond
Matrix location	Relationships uncertain	8. dimensions as found 9 other comments
Description (See check lists):	1. LOOSE 2.+3 LIGHT STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX	
• •	\	
	this context is (	5
WITH PATCHE	S OF BLUE SANDY SILT	
	1.18m 6.0186m+x	
0.0m+	7 8. ShorEL; OLEALAST	
Interpretation/Discussion:	OWER FILL OF 17. MAS DELIBERATE	QEPOSITION
,		
		***
	Pot[] Bone[] Flint[] Stone[] Burnt stone Wood[] Leather[]	e[] Glass[]
		Recorder My
Samples		Date <sub>22</sub> /7/11
Building Materia	als	Initials mm

. . . . . . . . .

. .

oxfordarchaeology	CONTEXT RECORD	Context No.
SITELASTHALL	ADDITIONAL SHEETS:	TYPE FILL
Trench 2	Context Type: Deposit / Gut / Structure	Check Lists:
Site sub-div	Overlain by: $(21-22)$ $(5)$	DEPOSIT: 1. compaction
Structure No.	Abutted by:	colour     composition
Plan No.	Cut by: 11 120	4. inclusion 5. thickness 6. extent
	Filled by:	7. comments 8. method & conditions
Section No.	Same as:	CUT:
	Part of:	1) shape in plan 2! base/sides/top profile 3! dimension and depth
Co-Ordinates	Consists of:	4. sketch 5. truncation 6. fill mos
	Overlies: (15) (6)	6. fill ros 7. othe/comments
Tevel 5 161 - 11-14	Butts:	MASONRY: 1. materials 2.size of byteks etc.
Slide No.	Cuts:	2/size of bricks etc 5. finish of stones 4. coursing/bond
Neg No.	Fill of:	5. form 6. faces 7. bond 8. dimensions as found
Matrix location	Relationships uncertain  STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX	9. other comments
	1. SOFT 2. DARK BROWN  LT. 4. <10% SUB-ANG  STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX  [20]	
	this context is	
5-0-28m	6. 0.84m+ y 0.6m+	
7,- 3.	MATIN SLIOVER; OVERCAST	
Interpretation/Discussion: $\mathcal L$	IPPER FILL OF PETT	
Finds (tick): None [ Metal [ ] CBM [ ]	Pot[] Bone[] Flint[] Stone[] Burnt ston Wood[] Leather[]	e[] Glass[]
△ Small Finds		Recorder MM
Samples		Date 12/7/1(
Building Materia	als	Initials mm

oxfordarchaeology	CONTEXT RECORD	Context No.
SITE WASTWALL	ADDITIONAL SHEETS:	TYPE CUT
Trench 2	Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure	Check Lists:
Site sub-div	Overlain by:	DEPOSIT:
Structure No.	. Abutted by:	2. compaction 3. compasition
Plan No.	Cut by:	2. colour 3. composition 4. inclusion 5. tackness 6-extent
	Filled by: (22)	7. comments 8. method & conditions
Section No.	Same as:	CUT:
2	Part of:	shape in plan     base/sides/top profile     dimension and depth
Co-Ordinates	Consists of:	4. sketch 5. truncation
	Overlies:	6. fill nos 7: other comments
1000 DIG1-11-14	Butts:	MASONRY:
Slide No.	Cuts: (19) (23)	Signaturals     Signatura
Neg No.	Fill of:	4. coursing/bond 5 form 6. faces
Matrix location	Relationships uncertain	8. dimensions as found 9. other comments
2. STEEP SINGS 3. L: 2.22m 5 6. (2)	this context is 120	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Interpretation/Discussion:	RUBBISH PIT	
,		
11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11		
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Finds (tick): None [ Metal [ ] CBM [ ]	Pot [] Bone [] Flint [] Stone [] Burnt stone Wood [] Leather []	e[] Glass[]
△ Small Finds	<del></del>	Recorder MA
Samples		Date 22/7/11
Building Materia	dls	Initials

oxfordarchaeology	CONTEXT RECORD	Context No.
SITE WAZIMALL	ADDITIONAL SHEETS:	TYPE FILL
Trench 2	Context Type: Deposit / Gut / Structure	Check Lists:
Site sub-div	Overlain by: (22)	DEPOSIT: 1. compaction
Structure No.	Abutted by:	colour     composition
Plan No.	Cut by:	4. inclusion 5. thickness 6. extent
	Filled by:	7. comments 8. method & conditions
Section No.	Same as:	CUT:
2	Part of:	1. shape in plan 2. base/sides/top profile 3 dimension and gepth
Co-Ordinates	Consists of:	4. sketch 5. truncation
	Overlies: (18) (9) (23)	6. fill hos 7. other comments
Level 1/6/- 11-14	Butts:	MASONRY:
Slide No.	Cuts:	2. size of bricks etc 3. finish of stones 4. coursing/bond
Neg No.	Fill of: 120	4. coursing/bond 5. form 6. laces 7. bond
Matrix location	Relationships uncertain	8 dimensions as found 9. other comments
Description (See check lists):	1. COMPACT 2. DARK BLUE STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX	
3. SANAH SILT	4. <10% CRUSHED CBM:	
,	this context is \( \mathre{\alpha} \)	<u> </u>
i .	STONES (1-50 x 30-	
5x5m2)	5.016-+ 6.L: 2.08-x	·
	7 8 SHOVEL; CLEAR + DRY	
Interpretation/Discussion:	OWER FILL OF [20]. PROB COMBINATION	NATURAL +
DELIBERATE I	DEPOSITION.	
	] Pot [] Bone [/] Flint [] Stone [] Burnt stone Wood [] Leather []	e[] Glass[]
△ Small Finds		Recorder
Samples		Date 22/4/11
Building Materia	als	Initials mm

٠:

oxfordarchaeology	CONTEXT RECORD	Context No.
SITE WASTHALL	ADDITIONAL SHEETS:	TYPE FILL
Trench 7	Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure	Check Lists:
Site sub-div	Overlain by: (24)	DEPOSIT:
Structure No.	Abutted by:	compaction     colour     composition
Plan No.	Cut by: LIDINE FOUNDATIONS, PIPE TO [1]	4. inclusion 5. thickness
	Filled by:	6. extent 7. comments 8. method & conditions
Section No.	Same as:	CUT:
2	Part of:	shape in plan.     base/sides/top profile     dimension and depth     sketch     trundation     fill note
Co-Ordinates	Consists of:	3. dimension and depth 4. sketch 5. trungation
	Overlies:	6. fill now 7. other comments
Level DIG1 - (1-14	Butts:	MASONRY: 1. materials
Slide No.	Cuts:	in materials     is size of bricks etc     it inish of stones     coursing/bond
Neg No.	Fill of:	5/tform 6. faces
Matrix location	Relationships uncertain	7. bond 8. dimensions as found 9. other comments
Description (See check lists):	STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX	
3. SANDY SUIT	1. SOFT 2. DARK BLUF STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX 4. < 10% CHARCOAL; this context is the	
	this context is 2	
C10% SUB-AN	16 STONES (C. 10×10~~)	
Interpretation/Discussion:		
	UPPER FILL OF 201.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Finds (tick): None [ Metal [ ] CBM [ ]	] Pot [ Bone [ Flint [ ] Stone [ ] Burnt stone Wood [ ] Leather [ ] らんしつ	e[] Glass[]
⚠ Small Finds		Recorder
Samples		Date 22/7/11
Building Materia	ıls	Initials MM

1.5

oxfordarchaeology	CONTEXT RECORD	Context No.
SITE LA3 THA11	ADDITIONAL SHEETS:	TYPE LAYER/FILL
Trench 2	Context Type: Deposit / <del>Cut / Structure</del>	Check Lists:
Site sub-div	Overlain by: (2) + (2)	DEPOSIT: 1. compaction
Structure No.	Abutted by:	2. colour 3. composition
Plan No.	Cut by: [20] + LOWE FOUNDATIONS	4. inclusion 5. thickness 6. extent
	Filled by:	7. comments 8. method & conditions
Section No.	Same as:	CUT:
2	Part of:	1. shape in plan 2. base/sides/top profile 3. dimension and depth
Co-Ordinates	Consists of:	4. sketch 5. trunçation
	Overlies:	6. fill nos 7. other comments
Lovet D (G1- 11-14	Butts:	MASONRY: 1. materials
Slide No.	Cuts:	2. size of bricks etc 3. finish of stones
Neg No.	Fill of:	4 coursing/bond 9 form 6 faces 7 band
Matrix location	Relationships uncertain	8. dimensions as found 9. other comments
1. SOFT 3. S 4. <10/ CHAR	COAL FLECKS ' (10%, SV4-ANG.) this context is [23]	
	7 8. SHOVEL, CLEAR JAR	- Lan Lite Lan Lan
w. 0.21m+	T. G. SHOVELY CLEAR TOUT	
<u> </u>		
<u> </u>		
Interpretation/Discussion:	POSS. FILL OF EARLY MED PIT	
<i></i>	1033. FILL OF EARLY MED PIT	
	1	
Finds (tick): None [ Metal [ ] CBM [ ]		e[] Glass[]
△ Small Finds		Recorder
Samples     Samples		Date 22/7/11
Building Materia	als	Initials

oxfordarchaeology	CONTEXT RECORD	Context No.
SITE LASTHAII	ADDITIONAL SHEETS:	TYPE LAMER
Trench 2	Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure	Check Lists:
Site sub-div	Overlain by:	DEPOSIT:
Structure No.	Abutted by: LYUSE FOUNDATIONS	colour     composition
Plan No.	Cut by: PAS TR.	4. inclusion 5. thickness 6. extent
<b>\</b>	Filled by:	7. comments 8. method & conditions
Section No.	Same as:	CUT:
2	Part of:	Shape in plan     Dase/sides/top profile     dingension and depth     skelch
Co-Ordinates	Consists of:	5. truncation /
	Overlies: (22)	6. fill nos 7. other comments
Lovot 0161-11-14	Butts:	MASONRY: 1. materials
Slide No.	Cuts:	1. materials 2. size of bricks etc 3. finish of stones 4. coursing/bond
Neg No.	Fill of:	4. coursing/bond 5. form 6. faces 7. bond
Matrix location	Relationships uncertain	dimensions as found     other comments
• • •	MIXED MANE GROUND  this context is QL  RAVEL + SAND 7 SILT	
<10%. CRUSHED	CBM INCLUSIONS.	
		·r
•		
		, ,
Interpretation/Discussion:		
		:
,		
	] Pot [ ] Bone [ ] Flint [ ] Stone [ ] Burnt stone Wood [ ] Leather [ ]	e[] Glass[]
△ Small Finds		Recorder nn
Samples		Date 22/7/11
Building Materia	als	Initials mm

oxfordarchaeology	CONTEXT RECORD	Context No.		
SITE WAS THAIL	ADDITIONAL SHEETS:	TYPE FILL		
Trench 2	Context Type: Deposit / Gut / Structure	Check Lists:		
Site sub-div	Overlain by: (14)	DEPOSIT: 1. compaction		
Structure No.	Abutted by:	2. colour 3. composition		
Plan No.	Cut by:	4. inclusion 5. thickness		
1	Filled by:	6. extent 7. comments 8. method & conditions		
Section No.	Same as:	CUT:		
2	Part of:	Shape in plan     bane/sides/top profile     dimension and depth     sketch		
Co-Ordinates	Consists of:	5. truncation /		
	Overlies: (2)	6. fill nos 7. other comments		
Lever 5141-15-16	Butts:	MASOMRY: 1. materials		
Slide No.	Cuts:	2. size of bricks etc 3. finish of stones 4. coursing/bold 5. form 6. faxes		
Neg No.	Fill of: (13)	7. pond \		
Matrix location	Relationships uncertain	8 dimensions as found 9 other comments		
Description (See check lists):	1- LOOSE 2. DAPH BROWN STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX			
3 ( 44.4 ) 5				
	11.7 4. <10%. ST/B-ROWNDEN  this context is (25)			
STONES (1-10×10-) 5,0.36m				
6.W: 0.4m+ x 1:0.6m+ 7,-				
8. SHOUEL : CLEAR + DRT				
Interpretation/Discussion: LOVER FILL OF [13]				
Finds (tick): None [.] Pot [.] Bone [.] Flint [.] Stone [.] Burnt stone [.] Glass [.] Metal [.] CBM [.] Wood [.] Leather [.]				
△ Small Finds		Recorder MM		
Samples		Date 22/7/11		
Byllding Materia	ls	Initials MM		

ř.

•.•

oxfordarchaeology	CONTEXT RECORD	Context No.
SITE WASTUALI	ADDITIONAL SHEETS:	TYPE LAKER
Trench 2	Context Type: Deposit / Gut / Structure	Check Lists:
Site sub-div	Overlain by:	DEPOSIT: 1. compaction
Structure No.	Abutted by:	2. colour 3. composition
Plan No.	Cut by: 13	4. inclusion 5. thickness 6. extent
1	Filled by:	7. comments 8. method & conditions
Section No.	Same as:	CUT: /
L'	Part of:	1. shape in plan 2. base/sides/top profile 3. dimension and depth
Co-Ordinates	Consists of:	4. sketch 5. truncation
	Overlies:	6. fill nos 7. other comments
Lovel DIG-1- 15-16	Butts: Q	MASONRY: 1. materials
Slide No.	Cuts:	2. size of bricks etc 3. finish of stones 4. coursing/bond
Neg No.	Fill of:	4. coursing/bond 5. form 6. faces 7. bond
Matrix location	Relationships uncertain	8 dimensions as found other comments
Description (See check lists):	SOFY 2. LIGHT BROWN/GAEEN STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX	
3 SANDY SILT	4. KIO 1/2 SUB- ROUNDES	
	(10-1) 5- 0-28m this context is (26	
1	+ x W: 0.6n+	
7-85	WOVEL; CLEAR + DRY	
<b>\</b>	and the second s	
Interpretation/Discussion:	OWER FUL OF POSSIBLE MED. PIT.	
•		
	Ç	
4		
Finds (tick): None [ Metal [ ] CBM [ ]	Pot[] Bone[] Flint[] Stone[] Burnt stone Wood[] Leather[]	e[] Glass[]
△ Small Finds		Recorder mm
Samples		Date 22/7/11
Building Materia	ıls	Initials mm

. : .

oxfordarchaeology	CONTEXT RECORD	Context No. 27			
SITEWA3THA11	ADDITIONAL SHEETS:	TYPESofare			
Trench	Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure	Check Lists:			
Site sub-div	Overlain by:	DEPOSIT: 1. compaction			
Structure No.	Abutted by:	2. colour 3. composition			
Plan No.	Cut by:	4. inclusion 5. thickness 6. extent			
<b>)</b>	Filled by:	7. comments 8. method & conditions			
Section No.	Same as:	CUT:			
۷.	Part of:	shape in plan     shape in plan     shape/sides/top profile     dimension and depth			
Co-Ordinates	Consists of:	dimension and depth     sketch     tryncation			
	Overlies: 28	6. If nos 7. other comments			
Level	Butts:	MASONRY: 1. materials			
Slide No.	Cuts:	size of bricks etc -     size of stones			
Neg No.	Fill of:	4. coursing/bond 5. form 6. faces 7. bond			
Matrix location	Relationships uncertain	8. dimensions as found     9. other comments			
Description (See check lists):	STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX				
1) York stone	slabs (boal Booms)_				
	good on cenent but				
2) Slabs mix 0.6x0.pn x 0.9mx0.pm					
	Inface only drescos				
5) yard certaer					
1					
Interpretation/Discussion:	Paving slab yard sorpu la	a.d. on			
o.m de	up cement his both.				
Finds (tick): None [, Metal [ ] CBM [ ]		e[] Glass[]			
		Recorder			
Samples		Date			
Building Materia	ıls	Initials			

oxfordarchaeology	CONTEXT RECORD	Context No. 28		
SITEWA3TH411	ADDITIONAL SHEETS:	TYPELago		
Trench	Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure	Check Lists:		
Site sub-div		DEPOSIT:		
Structure No.	Abutted by:	2. colour 3. composition		
Plan No.	Cut by:	4. inclusion 5. thickness 6. extent		
	Filled by	7. comments 8. method & conditions		
Section No.		CUT:		
3	Part of:	shape in plan     base/sides/top profile     dimension and depth		
Co-Ordinates	Consists of:	4. sketch 5. truncation		
		6. fill nos 7. other comments		
Level	Butts:	MASONRY.  1. materials		
Slide No.	i Cuis.	2. size of pricks etc 3. finish of stones		
Neg No.	I and the second of the second	4. coursing/bond 5. form 6. faces 7. bond		
Matrix location	Relationships uncertain	8. dimensions as found 9. other comments		
Description (See check lists):	STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX			
1) Frisible	27			
2) Dark	grun Irosn this context is 22	2		
A P.((	las loan			
4) Charcoal Hecking CBM trags				
5) > 0.40				
6) Through	D. 4.			
7				
Interpretation/Discussion: Post-mud garden sol.				
Finds (tick): None [ ] Pot [ ] Bone [ ] Flint [ ] Stone [ ] Burnt stone [ ] Glass [ ] Metal [ ] CBM [ ] Wood [ ] Leather [ ]				
		Recorder		
Samples		Date		
Building Materia	ls	Initials		

٠.

4.

oxfordarchaeology	CONTEXT RECORD	Context No. 29		
SITE WASTHA I	ADDITIONAL SHEETS:	TYPE Fill		
Trench ·	Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure	Check Lists:		
Site sub-div	Overlain by:	DEPOSIT: 1. compaction		
Structure No.	Abutted by:	2: colour 3. composition		
Plan No.	Cut by:	4. inclusion 5. thickness 6. extent		
1	Filled by:	7. comments 8. method & conditions		
Section No.	Same as:	CUT:		
Ψ	Part of:	1. shape in plan 2. base/sides/top profile 3. dimension and depth		
Co-Ordinates	Consists of:	4. sketch 5. truncation		
	Overlies:	6. fill nos 7. other comments		
Level	Butts:	MASONRY: 1. materials		
Slide No.	Cuts:	2. size of bricks etc 3. finish of stones 4. coursing/bond		
Neg No.	Fill of: 30	5. form 6. faces 7 bond		
Matrix location	Relationships uncertain	8 dimensions as found 9 other comments		
Description (See check lists)	STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX			
1) Tencios				
this context is 27				
2) Dark gran brash				
3) Sitty day				
4) Moch CBM, 21 sear pipes				
5) Up to 0 Bm deep				
6) Fills 1307 completely				
Interpretation/Discussion:	Backfill of poth pipe tre	nch.		
Finds (tick): None Metal [ ] CBM [		ne[] Glass[]		
		Recorde		
		Date		
Samples		. Dale.		

oxfordarchaeology	CONTEXT RECORD	Context No.
SITE WAZ MAII	ADDITIONAL SHEETS:	TYPE C 5
Trench	Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure	Check Lists:
Site sub-div		DEPOSIT: 1. compaction
Structure No.	Abutted by:	2. colour 3. composition
Plan No.	Cut by:	4. inclusion 5. thickness 6. extent
	Filled by: Q Ø	7 comments 8. method & conditions
Section No.		CUT: 1. shape in plan
- Н	Part of:	base/sides/top profile     dimension and depth
Co-Ordinates	Consists of	4. sketch 5. truncation 6. fill nos
	Overlies:	7. other comments
Level		MASONRY: 1. materials 2. size of bricks etc
Slide No.	Cuis: 31; 32	3. finish of stones 4. coursing/bond
Neg No.		5. form 6. faces 7. bord 8. dimensions as found
Matrix location  Description (See check lists):	Relationships uncertain  STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX	9. other comments
7) Vertical  7) 0.45m 0  4) N = (31) (31)	sides stepped base this context is 30 or 45m deep  0.45m deep  5) NN  190 0.75n b) Filled b	$\frac{\Box}{31} \boxed{3}$
7		
3	(32)	
Interpretation/Discussion:	20th century fost saler,	ipe trench.
	] Pot[] Bone[] Flint[] Stone[] Burnt stone Wood[] Leather[]	[] Glass[]
△ Small Finds		Recorder
Samples		Date
Building Materia	is	Initials

oxfordarchaeology	CONTEXT RECORD	Context No.	
SITEWA37HA W	ADDITIONAL SHEETS:	TYPELayer	
Trench	Context Type: Deposit / Gut / Structure	Check Lists:	
Site sub-div	Overlant by.	DEPOSIT: 1. compaction	
Structure No.	Abutted by:	colour     composition	
Plan No.	Cut by:	4. inclusion 5. thickness 6. extent	
	Filled by:	7. comments 8. method & conditions	
Section No.	Same as:	CUT:	
4	Part of:	shape in plan     base/sides/top profile     dimension and depth	
Co-Ordinates	Consists of:	4. sketch 5. truncation	
•		6. fill nos 7. other comments	
Level	Butts:	MASONRY:	
Slide No.	Cuts:	size of bricks etc     finish of stones	
Neg No.	Fill of:	4. coursing/bond 5. form 6. faces 7. bond	
Matrix location	Polationships upgertain (	8. dimensions as found 9. other comments	
Description (See check lists):	STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX		
1) Frable	30		
z) Dark a	this context is 31		
3) S/Hy Joan 32			
4) Charcoal Hecking, CBM fragments			
5) Up to o.bm deep			
Interpretation/Discussion: Post-med garden soil, contemporary			
2.46	hose	Rupina,	
Finds (tick): None [ ] Pot [ ] Bone [ ] Flint [ ] Stone [ ] Burnt stone [ ] Glass [ ] Metal [ ] CBM [ v ] Wood [ ] Leather [ ]			
△ Small Finds		Recorder	
Samples		Date	
Building Materia	ls	Initials	

oxfordarchaeology	CONTEXT RECORD	Context No.
SITEWA3THA 11	ADDITIONAL SHEETS:	TYPE Lay Co
Trench	Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure	Check Lists:
Site sub-div	Overlain by: 3/	DEPOSIT: 1. compaction
Structure No.	Abutted by:	colour     composition
Plan No.	Cut by: 30	4. inclusion 5. thickness 6. extent 7. comments
Section No.	Same as:	8. method & conditions  CUT:
4	Part of:	1. shape in plan 2. base/sides/top profile
Co-Ordinates	Consists of:	3. dimension and depth 4. sketch 5. truncation
	Overlies: 33:34:35	6. fill nos 7. other comments
Level	Butts:	MASONRY.
Slide No.	Cuts:	materials     size of oricks etc     finish of stones
Neg No.	Fill of:	4. coursing/bond 5. form 6. faces
Matrix location	Relationships uncertain	7. bond 8. dimensions as found 9. other comments
Description (See check lists):	STRATIGRAPHIC MAŢRIX	
1) Frialbh	3/	
	this context is 3	2
2) Dark	grey brown	32
3) Sitty 0	las loan	
4) W MOI	in gravel, charcoal	
5) Up to	0 045m in death	
Interpretation/Discussion:	Boned soil horizon Pour	Bly med?
		•
	] Pot [ ] Bone [ ] Flint [ ] Stone [ ] Burnt ston Wood [ ] Leather [ ]	e[] Glass[]
△ Small Finds		Recorder ///
Samples		Date
Building Materia	als	Initials

	The second secon		
oxfordarchaeology	CONTEXT RE	ECORD	Context No.
SITEWA3 THA II	ADDITIONAL SHEETS:		TYPE Fill
Trench	Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure		Check Lists:
Site sub-div	Overlain by: 32		DEPOSIT: 1. compaction
Structure No.	Abutted by:		2. colour 3. composition
Plan No.	Cut by:		4. inclusion 5. thickness
1	Filled by:		6. extent 7. comments 8. method & conditions
Section No.	Same as:	4	CUT:
4	Part of:		shape in plan     base/sides/top profile     dimension and depth
Co-Ordinates	Consists of:		4. sketch 5. truncation
	Overlies: 34 35:36:3	<b>'</b> 7	6. fill nos 7. other comments
Level	Butts:		MASONAY: 1. materials
Slide No.	Cuts:		size of bricks etc     finish of stones
Neg No.	Fill of: 34		4. coursing/bond 5. form 6. faces 2/bond
Matrix location	Relationships uncertain.		dimensions as found     other comments
Description (See check lists):		STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX	•
1) Friable		32	
al Darda	50.0	this context is 33	3
3) S.H	1-an		34
4) Chares	al Hecken	1	
5) > 1:06			
6) F.115	341 completation		
	- completely		
Interpretation/Discussion:	Backfillian of	nobbish p.41	341
No tip	lines visible	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	<u> </u>
		,	
			· 1
Finds (tick): None [ Metal [ ] CBM [ ]		Stone [ ] Burnt stone	[] Glass[]
△ Small Finds			Recorder
Samples			Date
Building Materia	ıls		Initials

. .

oxfordarchaeology	CONTEXT RECORD	Context No. 34
SITEWA3THA 11	ADDITIONAL SHEETS:	TYPE P.F
rench	Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure	Check Lists:
ite sub-div	Overlain by: 32	DEPOSIT: 1. compaction
tructure No.	Abutted by:	2. colour 3. composition 4. inclusion
lan No.	Cut by:	4. inclusion 5. thickness 6. extent
1	Filled by: 33	7. comments 8. method & conditions
ection No.	Same as:	CUT:
4	Part of:	1. shape in plan     2. base/sides/top profile     3. dimension and depth
o-Ordinates	Consists of:	4. sketch 5. truncation
	Overlies:	6. fill nos 7. other comments
evel	Butts:	MASONRY:
lide No.	Cuts: 35:36:37	2. size of bricks etc
eg No.	Fill of:	4. coursing/bond 5. form 6. faces 7. bond
latrix location	Relationships uncertain	8 dimensions as found 9. other comments
escription (See check lists):	STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX	
2) Very Ster 3) Approx 1. 4) E (2)	2m & depth >1.in  5) 1  Hove (37) 4341 - tno	Depth pourse
(3b)	33) (33) 0.8m CSH 1341 (10.5m b) F.	reation (33)
nterpretation/Discussion:		
	Medieval pridicish pot	
	(12th - 1/3th certon	, 2
		,
Finds (tick): None [ Metal [ ] CBM [ ]	] Pot [ ] Bone [ ] Flint [ ] Stone [ ] Burnt stor Wood [ ] Leather [ ]	ne[] Glass[]
		Recorder
		D-1-
Samples		Date

oxfordarchaeology	CONTEXT RECORD	Context No.
SITEWA3 THAI	ADDITIONAL SHEETS:	TYPE Layer
Trench	Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure	Check Lists:
Site sub-div	Overlain by: 32	DEPOSIT:
Structure No.	· Abutted by:	1. compaction     2. colour     3. composition
Plan No.	Cut by: 34	4. inclusion 5. thickness 6. extent
I	Filled by:	6. extent 7. comments 8. method & conditions
Section No.	Same as:	CUT:
4	Part of:	1. shape in plan 2. base/sides/top profile 3. dimension and depth
Co-Ordinates	Consists of:	4. sketch 5. truncation
	Overlies: 36	6. fill nos 7. other comments
Level	Butts:	MASONRY:
Slide No.	Cuts:	materials     size of bricks etc     finish of stones
Neg No.	Fill of:	4. cox/sing/bond 5. form 6. faces 7. bond
Matrix location	Relationships uncertain	8. dimensions as found 9. other comments
Description (See check lists):	STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX	
1) Enable SE	ni-comparted 34	
1 11	this context is 3.	5
2) Greenigh	gellow brown	36
3) Sondy	s.H Iran	
4) Charca	oal Heck-y	
5) Up to	0.6m in depth.	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Interpretation/Discussion:	Probable occopation large	
greentsh	tinge possible case?	
Company	ul possible jard sorface	
UV (pur.	va possion -juine	
,		
Finds (tick): None [ Metal [ ] CBM [ ]		e[] Glass[]
△ Small Finds		Recorder ///
Samples		Date
Building Materia	als	Initials

-

oxfordarchaeology	CONTEXT RECORD	Context No.
SITEWA37HA I/	ADDITIONAL SHEETS:	TYPELayer
Trench	Context Type: Deposit / Gut / Structure	Check Lists:
Site sub-div	Overlain by: 35	DEPOSIT: 1. compaction
Structure No.	Abutted by:	2. colour 3. composition
Plan No.	Cut by: 34	4: inclusion 5: thickness 6: extent
<u> </u>	Filled by:	7. comments 8. method & conditions
Section No.	Same as:	CUT:
4	Part of:	shape in plan     shase/sides/top profile     dimension and depth
Co-Ordinates	Consists of:	3. dimension and depth 4. sketch 5. truncation
	Overlies: 37	6. fill nos 7. other comments
Level	Butts:	MASONIAY:
Slide No.	Cuts:	materials     size of bricks etc     finish of stones
Neg No.	Fill of:	4. coursing/bond 5. form 6. faces
Matrix location	Relationships uncertain	7. bond 8. dimensions as found 9. other comments
Description (See check lists):	STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX	5. Willer comments
1) Freakh	35	
a) Dank	this context is 3	<i>b</i>
3) Silts	Toan Dan	37
4) Charcoal	Heckny	
5) 0.5m	duep.	
Interpretation/Discussion:	Occopation layer poss.	34
Worked	1 garden?) so. 2.	
	C	
Finds (tick): None [ Metal [ ] CBM [ ]		e[] Glass[]
△ Small Finds	7	Recorder
Samples		Date
Building Materia	als	Initials

oxfordarchaeology	CONTEXT RECORD	Context No. 3 F
SITE WASTHALL	ADDITIONAL SHEETS:	TYPELayer
Trench	Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure	Check Lists:
Site sub-div	Overlain by.	DEPOSIT:
Structure No.	Abutted by:	compaction     colour     composition
Plan No.	Cut by: 24	4. inclusion 5. thickness
	Filled bur	6. extent 7. comments 8. method & conditions
Section No.	Same as:	CUT:
4	Part of:	1. shape in plan 2. base/sides/top profile
Co-Ordinates	Consists of	3. dimension and depth 4. sketch 5. truncation
		6. fill nos 7. other comments
Level	Butts:	MASONRY 1. materials
Slide No.	Cuts:	2. size of bricks etc
Neg No.	Fill of:	4. coursing/bond 5. form 6. faces 7: bond
Matrix location		8. dimensions as found 9. other comments
Description (See check lists):	STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX	
1) Compaul	36	
2) Orange	this context is 37	7
_		
3) coase		3V gravel
1,	1, 1)	o gaster
5) > 0,15	n in alpha	
Interpretation/Discussion:		
	Natural gravel	
Finds (tick): None [/Metal [ ]	Pot[] Bone[] Flint[] Stone[] Burnt stone Wood[] Leather[]	[ ] Glass [ ]
△ Small Finds		Recorder
Samples		Date
Building Materia	ıls	Initials



WALLINGFORD 3 THAMES STREET. WASTHALL

BOX I FILE 3

B': catalogue of Drawings

#### OXFORD ARCHAEOLOGY, JANUS HOUSE, OSNEY MEAD, OXFORD, OX2 OES

#### PDF/A SCAN

FILMING INSTRUCTIONS

Submitter OASouth No. of copies: 2

Headings

Site information

Line 1: [OASouth] County[Oxon] Parish:[Wallingford]

Site[3 Thames Street ] Site code[WA3THA 11]

Line 2: Excavators name[D Score]

Line 3:

Classification of material

Tick if

Classification of material	present
Index to archive	
Introduction	
A:Final Report	
A:Publication Report	
B:Site Data - Text: Diary/Daybook/Fieldnotes	
B: Site Data – Text: General Summaries	
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Context Records	
B: Site Data - Text: Synthesised Context Records	
B: Site Data – Text: Survey Reports	
B: Site Data – Text: Catalogue of Dianings	
B. Site Data Centa Primary Drawings	
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Drawings	
C: Finds Data – Text: Primary Finds Data	
C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data	
C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports	
C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List	
D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/Xrays	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records	·
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports	
F: Documentary	
F: Press and Publicity	
G: Correspondence	
H: Miscellaneous	,

<b>9</b> (\$)(	)
Oxford Archaeolo	gy


# **PLAN RECORD SHEET**


Oxfor	d Archaeology							
SITE CO	DE LAZTHAII	SITE NAME	3 THAMES	ST	, WALLING	FORS		
Plan number		Cont	text(s)	نعد ش معتشیر		Scale	Drawn . by	Size (A1, A4, etc.)
1	SITE PL	lw				1:50	<i>^</i>	AK
					-			٠,
				<u></u>				
							•	
-								
		·						
					· <u>-</u>			
		-						***
	·. ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
				<del></del> , -	·			




#### SECTION RECORD SHEET


OXIOIU AICIIBEOI	OLOTION TILCOTED SHEET				
Site Name:	3 THAMES ST. WALLINGFORD	Site Cod	de: WA	3 THA II	
Section No	Context(s)	Scale	Drawn By	Size A1, A4 etc	Plan (Sheet) No
1	TRI	1:20	MM	A4	1
3	TRL	1:20	мл	44	(
3	27:20	1,20	Ms	AY	1
4	29:30:31:32:33:34:35:36:37	1:20	J.	Au	)
				,, ,	
					·
					,
· 					
			-		
				<u> </u>	
			·		
					·
r **					
		"		-	
					<del>-,</del>







16.56 S 16.



WALLINGFORD 3 THAMES STREET. WASTHA 11

Box I file #4

C: Primary Finos DASA.

#### OXFORD ARCHAEOLOGY, JANUS HOUSE, OSNEY MEAD, OXFORD, OX2 OES

#### PDF/A SCAN

FILMING INSTRUCTIONS Submitter OASouth

No. of copies: 2

Headings

Site information

Line 1: [OASouth] County[Oxon] Parish: [Wallingford]

Site[3 Thames Street] Site code[WA3THA 11]

Line 2: Excavators name[D Score]

Line 3:

Classification of material

Tick if

Classification of material	present
Index to archive	
Introduction	
A:Final Report	
A:Publication Report	
B:Site Data – Text: Diary/Daybook/Fieldnotes	
B: Site Data – Text: General Summaries	
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Context Records	
B: Site Data - Text: Synthesised Context Records	
B: Site Data – Text: Survey Reports	
B: Site Data – Text: Catalogue of Drawings	
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings	
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Drawings	
C: Finds Data – Text: Primary Finds Data	
C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data	
C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports	
C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List	
D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/Xrays	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports	
F: Documentary	
F: Press and Publicity	
G: Correspondence	
H: Miscellaneous	

Oxford Arc	chaeology	SMALL FINDS RECORD SHEET						
SITE CODE		SITENAME 3 Thanks St, Wallastona			,2			
Number	Context	Object	Material	Foresight	Grid Reference	Level		
I	35	Wire	Co-alley			•		
						<u>-</u>		
						<del> </del>		
						•		
				-				
						· 		
						<del></del>		
					<u> </u>			
						<u>-</u> -		

<b>9</b>	
Oxford	Archaeology

# FINDS CONTEXT CHECKLIST

SITE CODEWAZTMA SITE NAME 3, thanks St Wallingford LISTED BY us

	BULK FINDS				SMALL	. FINDS	
Context	Number of bags	Date	In	Small find number	Date	ln	*//
32	2	9/8/11					:
33		4					
32 33 35 36 35	(	1				-	
36	1	``					
35		4					
					·		
	"				:		
		ļ					
							^
						<u></u>	
: 						·	

Oxford Arc	haeology

## **FINDS CONTEXT CHECKLIST**

SITE CODE WASTHA SITE NAME 3 Thank It Waltingford.

LISTED BY

	BULK	FINDS		SMALL FINDS				
Context	Number of bags	Date	In	Small find number	Date	In	*/_	
7		2/8/11						
16	1	9		·				
22	1'.	И			-			
16 22 28	1	Ч						
·								
	,							
						-		
. eş								
				,	·			
·								
·								



# FINDS CONTEXT CHECKLIST

SITE CODE WASHUALL

SITE NAME 3 THANES STREET, WALLINGFORD

LISTED BY MM

	BULK	FINDS		SMALL FINDS				
Context	Number of bags Date In			Small find number	Date	In	*//	
(2B)	İ	29/7/11	·					
(25)	1							
) (4)	2							
<u>(23)</u>	2							
(16)	2							
<u>(1)</u>	l							
<u> </u>	3							
(21)		1						
	·	_						
		-						
			`					
					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
<del></del>			•		_ ':			

WALLINGFORD 3 THAMES STREET.

Box 1 file 3

FINTOS. C: SPECIALIST REPORTS.

## OXFORD ARCHAEOLOGY, JANUS HOUSE, OSNEY MEAD, OXFORD, OX2 OES

#### PDF/A SCAN

FILMING INSTRUCTIONS Submitter OASouth

No. of copies: 2

Headings

Site information

Line 1: [OASouth] County[Oxon] Parish:[Wallingford]

Site[3 Thames Street ] Site code[WA3THA 11]

Line 2: Excavators name[D Score]

Line 3:

Classification of material

Tick if

Classification of material	11ck 1f present
Index to archive	
Introduction	
A:Final Report	
A:Publication Report	
B:Site Data – Text: Diary/Daybook/Fieldnotes	
B: Site Data - Text: General Summaries	
B: Site Data - Text: Primary Context Records	
B: Site Data - Text: Synthesised Context Records	
B: Site Data – Text: Survey Reports	
B: Site Data – Text: Catalogue of Drawings	
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings	
B: Site Data - Text: Synthesised Drawings	
C: Finds Data – Text: Primary Finds Data	
C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data	
C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports	
C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List	
D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/Xrays	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports	
F: Documentary	
F: Press and Publicity	
G: Correspondence	
H: Miscellaneous	

# Assessment of the pottery from 3 Thames Street, Wallingford (WA3THA 11) by John Cotter

#### Introduction and methodology

A total of 143 sherds of pottery weighing 3220g. were recovered from ten contexts. The pottery was examined and spot-dated during the present assessment stage. For each context the total pottery sherd count and weight were recorded on an Excel spreadsheet, followed by the context spot-date which is the date-bracket during which the latest pottery types in the context are estimated to have been produced or were in general circulation. Comments on the presence of datable types were also recorded, usually with mention of vessel form (jugs, bowls etc.) and any other attributes worthy of note (eg. decoration etc.).

#### Date and nature of the assemblage

The assemblage is in a fresh though fragmentary condition with many large sherds present and has clearly not been redeposited to any significant extent. Nearly all the pottery types present are covered in Mellor's survey of medieval pottery in Oxfordshire (Mellor 1994). The latest pottery comprises two sherds of probable 14th- or 15th-century date from Context (7) including a possible Cheam (Surrey) ware cooking pot rim and a developed-looking jug sherd of Ashampstead-type (Newbury C) ware. The rest of the assemblage is remarkably consistent in character and date with all contexts datable to either c 1175-1275(?) or c 1225-1275(?). The dominant ceramic industry represented here is Wallingford ware (Fabric WA38; Mellor 1994, 61-3) which has a full date range of c 1025-1275 but the presence of flint- and limestone-tempered Newbury B (East-Wiltshire-type, OXAQ) ware in almost every context dates these to after c 1175 when this fabric became widespread in the region. A couple of contexts are dated after c 1225 by the presence of a few sherds of glazed Brill/Boarstall ware (OXAM) jugs. The Wallingford ware assemblage comprises a typical range of wheel-thrown sandy ware cooking pots with large beaded rims or thumbed rims. One large cooking pot rim (ctx 23) has a band of characteristic rouletted decoration on the shoulder (ibid., fig. 16.23). Also present are a few early highly decorated and glazed pitchers (possibly tripod pitchers?) with characteristic braided and plain rod strip decoration inlaid down the length of a broad strap handle in the regional tripod pitcher style of c 1075-1250. A few of these might be in Ashampstead-type ware (OXAG) which can be similar, though generally redder, but more detailed analysis would be required to determine this. Rarer Wallingford ware forms present include a bifid- or hammerhead-rim bowl, a spike cresset-lamp and a perforated and sooted sherd possibly from a curfew (firecover). The Newbury B ware (OXAQ) assemblage is smaller but comprises a typical range of cooking pots rims, a jug/jar shoulder with stabbed or rouletted decoration and a perforated sherd from a curfew.

The earliest pieces in the assemblage (both residual) comprise a body sherd of possible late Saxon Oxfordshire shelly ware (OXB, c 775-1050) (ctx 33), and a classic St Neot's-type ware hammerhead bowl rim (OXR, c 900-1100) (ctx 36). Fuller details may be consulted in the spreadsheet. The assemblage is significant in terms of its good preservation and dating consistency and could be worth publishing in some form - particularly if further excavation takes place on this site.

#### The ceramic building material (CBM)

by John Cotter

The CBM assemblage comprises 4 pieces weighing 142g. These came from just two contexts. These have not been recorded elsewhere but are described here. The assemblage consists entirely of flat roof tile (peg tile) which appear to be of medieval date - although they cannot generally be closely dated.

Context (7) 3 pieces (124g.): 13th-16th century?

Tiles in an orange-red firing sandy fabric. One very uneven piece (14mm. thick) is covered with a greenish-brown glaze externally and may be of 13th-14th century date. The other two smaller unglazed pieces are not closely datable. One piece (11mm. thick) has traces of a circular nailhole.

Context (36) 1 piece (18g.): 13th-16th century?

Spalled/worn tile fragment in hard orange-red sandy fabric.

No further work on the CBM assemblage is recommended.

#### Mortar

by John Cotter

Two pieces of building mortar (43g.) were recovered. These are fairly undatable but are described below.

Context (32) 1 piece (9g.): Medieval/post-medieval?

Small wedge-shaped section piece of hard dark grey mortar with narrow rectangular face (13mm. deep). Probably from pointing between rows of tiles or bricks - traces of reddish brown tile/brick-like material on one side. Fresh and not very old-looking.


Context (35) 1 piece (34g.): Medieval/post-medieval?

Slab-like piece of light grey mortar (8-12mm. thick). Probably from pointing or bonding layer between rows of tiles or bricks - traces of reddish brown tile/brick-like material on one side. Fine-grained sandy matrix with sparse coarse rounded inclusions of quartz, limestone and ironstone and some rounded voids containing sparry calcite or gypsum.

No further work on the mortar assemblage is recommended.

#### **Bibliography**

Mellor, M, 1994 'Oxfordshire Pottery: A Synthesis of middle and late Saxon, medieval and early post-medieval pottery in the Oxford Region' Oxoniensia 59, 17-217.



Context	Spot-date	No.	Weight	Comments
				Broad horizontal flanged cpot rim in fine white sandy fabric
				w splash of copper green glz under rim - Cheam ware?
٠			•	Brown-glazed WT ?jug bs in orange sandy fabric - poss
7	14-15C?	2	61	Newbury C (OXAG)?
				Bs poss Brill jug or sl coarser SE Ox (OX162) with
				prominent red-tinted quartz and a clear copper green glz.
		-		1x thumbed cpot rim - prob Newbury B ware. 2x joining
.*				Wallingford ware (WA38) strap handle from a pitcher with 4
		,		neatly inlaid strips inserted into handle - the 2 central ones
				are braided, & strips on body around handle - prob c1075-
14	c1225-1275?	4	136	1275?
				Newbury B cpot rim & Bss. Wallingford ware thumbed cpot
,				rim & bss. Green-glazed med ?Wall ware bs glazed inside
16	c1175-1275?	9	178	& out. Finer sandy cream unglz bs - poss OX162?
			-	2x green-glazed ?Brill or OX162 jug handles - 1 oval & 1
•	,			rod. Wallingford ware incl glazed pitcher rim with edge
	,			notching like OXY & int/ext brownish-green glz (or poss
22	c1225-1275?	8	285	OXAG?); Wall ware cpot rim & bss
•				Large fresh Wallingford ware cpot w thumbed rim & bands
				of horizontal square rouletting on shoulder; other Wall bss
00	-4475 40750		454	& small thumbed cpot rim. 2 Newbury B bss from same
23	c1175-1275?	8	181	vessels with crude bands of square rouletting or notching
				2x Wallingford ware from same glazed pitcher incl braided
,	-4475 40750			strap handle & shoulder bs w incised zig-zag dec & strips -
28	c1175-1275?	3	11	JOINS (14). Base NEWB
,				Fresh Wallingford ware cpots with thumbed rims or large
20	-4475 40750	40	00.4	beaded/clubbed rims or hammerhead rims. Few glazed
32	c1175-1275?	42	884	pitcher bss. Fresh NEWB cpot rims

g	Context	Spot-date	No.	Weight	Comments
10	22				Fresh Wallingford ware cpots with thumbed rims or large beaded/clubbed rims. Few glazed pitcher bss. 1 Wall ware bs with small pre-firing piercing & int sooting - curfew? 1x Wall ware long spike from a cresset lamp. 1x Wall ware unusual pitcher/jug rim w broad thumbed facets on edge of rim - each with central stabbed pit (like Laverstock etc) with strap handle stub and incised lattice dec on neck, greenish-yell glz int/ext. Few NEWB incl bs with oval pre-firing perforation 10mm diam & sooting int - prob curfew? 1x shelly ware bs with coarse fossil oyster - poss late Saxon
	<del></del>	c1175-1275?	20	432	shelly OXB??
11					Wall ware cpot rims & thumbed bifid bowl rim w ext knife- trimming of lower wall. Few dec glazed pitcher sherds incl horiz thumbed strip. Few NEWB incl cpot with broad
	35	c1175-1275?	23	563	hammerhead rim
tz					Mainly Wall ware incl thumbed & beaded cpot rims, 2 glz pitcher bss. 2x NEWB incl cpot rim. 1x prob residual classic
ť	36	c1175-1275?	24		St Neot's ware hammerhead bowl rim c900-1100
3	TOTAL		143	3220	

#### Wallingford, 3 Thames Street (WA3THA 11)

#### Metal finds

Ian Scott

There are 4 metal finds from 3 contexts, comprising 3 pieces of iron and a fragment of non-ferrous wire.

#### **Finds**

Context 22 (n = 1) – short nail with slightly domed circular head and encrusted stem.. Hand made. Iron. L: 47mm

**Context 28** (n = 2) – there is one complete or near complete nail, somewhat encrusted (L: 105mm) and one nail stem fragment. Both iron and hand made.

Context 35 (n = 1) – a fragment of thin non-ferrous wire bent into a U-shape. Possibly the stem of long pin, the metal may be copper alloy with plating. Probably machine drawn, and therefore modern.

#### Discussion

The finds are of limited interest. The nails are not closely datable and the fragment of thin non-ferrous wire is probably quite recent in date.

WALLINGFORD 3 THAMES ST WASTHA 11

BOXI FILE 6

CFINDS BOXIBAG LISTS.

#### OXFORD ARCHAEOLOGY, JANUS HOUSE, OSNEY MEAD, OXFORD, OX2 OES

#### PDF/A SCAN

#### FILMING INSTRUCTIONS

Submitter OASouth No. of copies: 2

Headings

Site information

Line 1: [OASouth] County[Oxon] Parish: [Wallingford]

Site[3 Thames Street ] Site code[WA3THA 11]

Line 2: Excavators name[D Score]

Line 3:

Classification of material

Tick if

	present
Art. A. 1.	present
Index to archive	
Introduction	
A:Final Report	
A:Publication Report	
B:Site Data - Text: Diary/Daybook/Fieldnotes	,
B: Site Data – Text: General Summaries	
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Context Records	
B: Site Data - Text: Synthesised Context Records	
B: Site Data – Text: Survey Reports	
B: Site Data – Text: Catalogue of Drawings	
B: Site Data - Text: Primary Drawings	
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Drawings	
C: Finds Data — Text: Primary Finds Data	
C: Finds Data - Text: Synthesised Finds Data	
C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports	
C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List	
D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/Xrays	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports	
F: Documentary	
F: Press and Publicity	
G: Correspondence	
H: Miscellaneous	

# Finds Compendium

Site Code	Invoice Co	de		Site Na	me	Accession No	OAU No
WA3THA 11	WA3THAWB	. 3	Thames St	reet, Wallings	òrd		
Finds materials	summarised fo	or Site C	ode: W	A3THA 11 and	l invoice code: WA3T	HAWB	
Material		No Of ontexts	No Of Sherds	Total Weight (g)	Box Sizes	Box N	umbers
Animal Bone	1	12	314	5325	1 x Size 1	B.01	
СВМ		2	4 .	146		MISC.01 - mixed l	юх
Copper Alloy	,1 ,	1	1	10	1 x Plastic size 4	CA.01	
Iron		2	3	- 58	and the state	CA.01	
Mortar		1	1	10		MISC.01 - mixed b	oox
Pottery	1	10	135	3253	1 x Size 2	P.01	
Shell		4	16	179		MISC.01 - mixed b	ox
Slag		2	2	759		MISC.01 - mixed b	юх
Stone		: 1	1	175		MISC.01 - mixed b	ox .
Wood		1	1	7		MISC.01 - mixed b	юх
	Totals:		478	9,922 g			
Total No of Boxes:	3 boxes + 1 miscellane	eous hos	res :	Miscel	laneous Box Siz	es:	

Weight

(g)

## **Box Contents Sheets**

Site Code WA3THA 11	Material:	Animal Bon	е
Box Size Size 1	Box No	B.01	Accession No

5325

Context

SF No of Number Bags

No of Objects

Material:

Context	SF No	No of Bags	No Obje	ITEMICIEMIE	Weight (g)
7		1	13	Animal Bone	353
12		1	23	Animal Bone	408
16		1	26	Animal Bone	645
21		1	2	Animal Bone	222
22		1	22	Animal Bone	676
22 -		1	6	Animal Bone	16
. 22		1	5	Animal Bone	338
23		. 1	14	Animal Bone	148
25		ı	18	Animal Bone	451
28	_	1	4	Animal Bone	42
28	,	1.	1	Animal Bone burnt	2
32		1	4	Animal Bone	47
32		1	57	Animal Bone	766
33		1	21	Animal Bone	153
35		1	. 1	Animal Bone	9
35		1	9	Animal Bone	15
35		1	3	Animal Bone	2
35		1	48	Animal Bone	465
36		1	37	Animal Bone	567
No of Con	texts:	19	Tota	al Bags:	19

Total Objects:

Oxford Archaeological Unit, Janus House, Osney Mead, Oxford OX2 0ES

Total Weight:

**WA3THAWB** 

# **Box Contents Sheets**

Total Objects:

Site Code WA3THA 11	Material:	Copper Alloy	
Box Size Plastic size 4	Box No	CA.01 Accession No	

Context	SF No	No of Bags	No of Material: Objects	Weight (g)	Context	SF Number	No of Bags		Material:	Weight (g)
35	1 .	1	1 Copper Alloy wire?	10						
22		1	1 Iron Nail	9						
28		1	2 Iron Nails	49						
No of Co	nterts	3	Total Bags:	3		•		•		f

Material:

Weight (g)

# **Box Contents Sheets**

Site Code WA3THA 11	Material:	Miscellaneous
Box Size Size 4	Box No	MISC.01 Accession No

SF No of No of Number Bags Objects

Context S	SF No	No of Bags	No of Material: Objects		Weight (g)	Context	
7	-	1	3	СВМ	126	<del></del>	
36	. <u> </u>	1	1,	СВМ	- 20		
32		1	1	Mortar	10		
7		. 1	5	Shell oyster	15		
14		1	. 8	Shell oyster	98		
16		1	2	Shell oyster	32		
36		1	1	Shell oyster	34		
22		1	1	Siag	572		
23		_ 1	1	Slag	187		
28		. 1	1	Stone	175 -		
32		1.	1	Wood	7		
No of Conte	exts:	11	Tot	al Bags:	11		

**Total Objects:** 

Total Weight:

1276

SF No of No of Material: Number Bags Objects Weight (g)

## **Box Contents Sheets**

Site Code WA3THA 11	Material:	Pottery	
Box Size Size 2	Box No	P.01	Accession No

Context	SF No	No of Bags	No o Obje		Weight (g)	Context
7		ı	2	Pottery	61	
14		1	4	Pottery	136	
16		ı	1	Pottery	21	
16		1	8	Pottery	157	
22	·	1	5	Pottery	190	
. 22		1	3	Pottery	95	
23		1	7	Pottery	172	•
23		1.	1	Pottery	9,	
28		1	3	Pottery	77	•
32		1	33	Pottery	716	
32		1	9	Pottery	168	
33		1	11	Pottery	432	
35		1	23	Pottery	591	•
35		1	1	Pottery	5	
36		1	24	Pottery	423	
No of Con	texts:	15	Tot	al Bags:	15	
Total Obje	ects:	135	Tot	al Weight:	3253	

A A

WALLINGFORD 3 THAMES STREET WASTHALL

BOXI FILE 7

D: CATALOGUE OF PHOTOS

#### OXFORD ARCHAEOLOGY, JANUS HOUSE, OSNEY MEAD, OXFORD, OX2 OES

#### PDF/A SCAN

FILMING INSTRUCTIONS Submitter OASouth

No. of copies: 2

Headings

Site information

Line 1: [OASouth] County[Oxon] Parish: [Wallingford]

Site[3 Thames Street] Site code[WA3THA 11]

Line 2: Excavators name[D Score]


Line 3:


	present
Index to archive	
Introduction	
A:Final Report	
A:Publication Report	
B:Site Data – Text: Diary/Daybook/Fieldnotes	
B: Site Data – Text: General Summaries	,
B: Site Data - Text: Primary Context Records	
B: Site Data - Text: Synthesised Context Records	
B: Site Data – Text: Survey Reports	· -
B: Site Data – Text: Catalogue of Drawings	
B: Site Data - Text: Primary Drawings	
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Drawings	
C: Finds Data – Text: Primary Finds Data	
C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data	
C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports	
C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List	
D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/Xrays	1
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records	war
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports	
F: Documentary	
F: Press and Publicity	
G: Correspondence	
H: Miscellaneous	


Oxford Archaeology  SITE CODE WA3TH II		Pŀ	IOTOGRAPHIC RECORD SHEET			
		SITE NAME 3 Thanes St. Wallingford FILM NO. 1				
Camera number		Lens nu	mber Black & white	Black & white Solour		
Date	Negative number	View	Context(s)	Initials		
	0					
	1		WASTH 11 I.D. SHOT			
	2	8	Knlarged trench 2 1x1m			
	3	W	( V Y Y			
	4	W	<u> </u>			
	5	ψ <sub>γ</sub>	Foondation Rad in decording 0.5m			
	6	W	1 1 1 1			
	7	w	, , ,			
	8	E	Trial P.t Section 3 Kin			
	9	E	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			
	10	Ĺ	l, ixim			
	11					
	12			<u> </u>		
	13					
	14 15					
	16			<u> </u>		
,	17					
	18					
-···	19					
	20	<del></del> _		<u></u>		
	21	-				
	22					
	23					
	24					
	25					
	26			,		
	27	W.				
	28					
	29					
	30					
	31					
	32					
	33					
	34					
	35					
	36					
	37					


		GRAPHIC RECORD SHEE					ney Mead Oxford,OX2 0ES
	WA3THA11	Site name 3 Thames Street, Wallingford	Card n	umber	Sheet	1	
View No.	Photo No.	Context No. Description (Add context numbers where applicable)	Geo- Ref Photo	Object Photo	Scale (m)	View to	Initials and date
1	Picture 001.jpg	WA3THA11 ID Shot		•			Mm 21.7.11
2	Picture 002.jpg	Working shot				S	Mm 21.7.11
3	Picture 003.jpg	Working shot			_	S	Mm 21.7.11
4	Picture 004.jpg	Working shot	<u> </u>			W	Mm 21.7.11
5	Picture 005.jpg	Section 1		- <u>-</u> ,	1 m	SW	Mm 21.7.11
6	Picture 006.jpg	Section 1			1 m	SW	Mm 21.7.11
7	Picture 007.jpg	Working shot		•	<u> </u>	Е	Mm 21.7.11
8	Picture 008.jpg	Working shot				NE	Mm 21.7.11
9	Picture 009.jpg	Section 2		-	1 m	SSE	Mm 22.7.11
10	Picture 010.jpg	Section 2			1 m	SSE	Mm 22.7.11
11	Picture 011.jpg	Section 2			1 m	SE	Mm 22.7.11
12	Picture 012.jpg	Section 2		,	1 m	SE	Mm 22.7.11
13	Picture 013.jpg	Section 2			1 m	SSW	Mm 22.7.11
14	Picture 014.jpg	Section 2			1 m	SSW	Mm 22.7.11
15	Picture 015.jpg	Section 2, west end of extension			1 m	SW	Mm 22.7.11
16	Picture 016.jpg	Section 2, west end of extension			1 m	SW	Mm 22.7.11
17	Picture 017.jpg	East end of Trench 2				S	Mm 22.7.11
18	Picture 018.jpg	Trench 2, general shot				SW	Mm 22.7.11
19	Picture 019.jpg	General site shot			<del></del>	W	Mm 22.7.11
20	Picture 020.jpg	General site shot				E	Mm 22.7.11
21	Picture 021.jpg	Close up shot, (12) and (25)		•		SSW	Mm 22.7.11
22	Picture 022.jpg	General site shot				N	Mm 23.7.11
23	Picture 023.jpg	Close up of (23)				SW	Mm 23.7.11
24	Picture 024.jpg	Close up of (23)		-		SW	Mm 23.7.11
25	Picture 025.jpg	Trench 3 next to gate				NW	Mm 23.7.11
26	Picture 026.jpg	General site shot				NW	Mm 23.7.11
27	Picture 027.jpg	Widened Trench 2	1		1 m	W	Ms 1.8.11
28	Picture 028.jpg	Widened Trench 2			1 m	W	Ms 1.8.11
29	Picture 029.jpg	Widened Trench 2		*	1 m	W	Ms 1.8.11
30	Picture 030.jpg	Widened Trench 2	1===1		1 m	W	Ms 1.8.11

Site co	de WA3THA	Site name 3 Thames Street,	Card n	umber	Sheet	2	
11		Wallingford					
iew No.	Photo No.	Context No. Description (Add context numbers where applicable)	Geo- Ref Photo	Object Photo	Scale (m)	View to	Initials and date
31	Picture 031.jpg	Trench 3, foundation pad in doorway			0.5 m	W	Ms 1.8.1
32	Picture 032.jpg	Trench 3, foundation pad in doorway		,	0.5 m	W	Ms 1.8.1
33	Picture 033.jpg	Trench 3, Section 3			0.5 m	W	Ms 1.8.1
34	Picture 034.jpg	Trench 3, Section 3			0.5 m	W	Ms 1.8.1
35	Picture 035.jpg	WA3THA 11 ID Shot					Ms 8.8.1
36	Picture 036.jpg	Section 4, east face of trial pit			1 m	E	Ms 8.8.1
37	Picture 037.jpg	Section 4, east face of trial pit			1 m	Е	Ms 8.8.1
38	Picture 038.jpg	Section 4, east face of trial pit			1 m	Е	Ms 8.8.1
39	Picture 039.jpg	Section 4, south face of trial pit			1 m	S	Ms 8.8.1
10	Picture 040.jpg	Section 4, south face of trial pit			1 m	S	Ms 8.8.1
-							•
		·					
					···········		
		. · ·					
· · ·							
				,			
		-					
		,					









18)











19)

